

Focal Point Ireland: national report for 2025 – Legal framework

Health Research Board. Irish Focal Point to the European Drugs Agency

Authors of the national report

Lucy Dillon, Brian Galvin, Ciara Guiney, Suzi Lyons, and Sean Millar

Head of Irish Focal Point

Brian Galvin

All of the documents used in the preparation of the national report are available on the HRB National Drugs Library's repository at www.drugsandalcohol.ie.

This document was prepared for publication by the staff of the HRB National Drugs Library

Please use the following citation:

Health Research Board. Irish National Focal Point to the European Drugs Agency (2026) **Focal Point Ireland: national report for 2025 – Legal framework**. Dublin: Health Research Board.

Other reports in this National report series can be found at

http://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/php/annual_report.php

(2026) Focal Point Ireland: national report for 2025 – drug policy

(2026) Focal Point Ireland: national report for 2025 – treatment

(2026) Focal Point Ireland: national report for 2025 – drug markets and crime

(2026) Focal Point Ireland: national report for 2025 – prevention

(2026) Focal Point Ireland: national report for 2025 – prison

(2026) Focal Point Ireland: national report for 2025 – harms and harms reduction

(2026) Focal Point Ireland: national report for 2025 – drugs

Table of Contents

Contents

- T0. Summary 4**
- T1. National profile..... 5**
 - T1.1. Legal framework 5
 - T1.2. Implementation of the law 30
- T2. Trends32**
 - T2.1. Comment on any changes in penalties and definitions of core offences (offences of use, possession for personal use, supply (including production) of illicit drugs) in the legal framework since 2000. 32
 - T2.2. Comment on how the implementation of the law has changed since 2000..... 34
- T3. New developments43**
 - T3.1. What, if any, laws have changed in the last year? Please use the following table to structure your answer, providing the title of the law, a hyperlink if available and a short summary of the change and explanatory comments. 43
 - T3.2. How was the law implemented in the last year? What, if any, changes have occurred? 45
 - T3.3. Has there been an evaluation of the law in the last year, or other indications as to its effects?..... 48
 - T3.4. Optional. Summarise any major political discussions in the last year relating to legislation or its implementation that you feel is important in understanding the current legal framework within your country..... 48
- T4. Additional information51**
 - T4.1. Optional. Please describe any additional important sources of information, specific studies or data on the legal framework. Where possible, please provide references and/or links. .. 51
 - T4.2. Optional. Please describe any other important aspect of the legal framework that has not been covered in the questions above..... 56
- T5. Sources and methodology56**
 - T5.1. Please list notable sources for the information provided above..... 56
 - T5.2. Where studies or surveys have been used please list them and where appropriate describe the methodology?..... 57
 - T5.3 References..... 59

List of tables and figures

Table 1. Summary of penalties received for drug offences in Ireland 8

Table 2. Drugs specified in the Road Traffic Act 2016..... 19

Table 3. List of specified controlled drugs to be substituted 44

Table 4. Breakdown of controlled drug offence probation referrals, 2012–2020..... 47

Figure 1. Probation reoffending rates classified by time period to first reoffence, 2008–2020 cohorts
..... 46

Figure 2. Proportion of Community Service Orders, Probation Orders, and post-release 47

T0. Summary

Please provide an abstract of this workbook (target: 500 words) under the following headings:

- Summary of T.1.1.1 on the characteristics of drug legislation and national guidelines for implementation within your country (are offences criminal; what is the range of possible penalties; are there alternatives to punishment)?

The classification of drugs and precursors in Ireland is made in accordance with the three United Nations conventions of 1961, 1971, and 1988. Irish legislation defines the importation, manufacture, supply, and possession of most psychoactive substances as criminal offences. The principal criminal legislative framework is laid out in the Misuse of Drugs Acts (MDAs) 1977–2017. Since the commencement of the Misuse of Drugs Act, 1977, the legislation has been amended via statutory instruments and regulations to accommodate the transient nature of drug-related crime. Alternatives to punishment are available in Ireland; for example, via Community Service Orders, probation services, and Drug Treatment Court programmes.

- Summary T1.1.2: on how do the penalties vary by drug / quantity / addiction / recidivism?

Fines and sentence lengths for drug-related crime vary by the piece of legislation, by the Section that offenders are being prosecuted under, and also by whether it is a first, second, or subsequent offence. It is considered unjust to specify the minimum term of 10 years to offenders with addictions; if the judge is satisfied that an addiction exists and all extenuating circumstances are considered, the sentence can either be listed for review once 50% has expired, or it can be suspended. In order to reduce the likelihood of repeat offending, second or subsequent offences are penalised more severely than first offences.

- Summary T1.1.3: are there distinct laws for controlling NPS?

The main legislation that provides for the control of new psychoactive substances (NPS) is the Criminal Justice (Psychoactive Substances) Act 2010. This Act formed part of a multifaceted approach to reduce the availability of substances that were not controlled by the MDAs 1977–2007. Under the main provisions of the 2010 Act, it is an offence to sell, import, or export substances; to sell equipment that enables cultivation; and to advertise drugs. In an effort to deal with the transient nature of NPS, the Misuse of Drugs (Amendment) Act 2016 was enacted in July 2016. The Act includes the addition of NPS that have recently emerged on the Irish market. In order to ensure that drugs legislation captures emerging NPS, it is supplemented by secondary legislation in the form of declarations and designation orders, and annual regulation amendments. To date, the implementation of Criminal Justice (Psychoactive Substances) Act 2010 has not been evaluated.

T1. National profile

T1.1. Legal framework

The purpose of this section is to summarise the basic penalties and other responses to the offences of use, possession for personal use, supply (including production) of illicit drugs.

T1.1.1. Please describe the characteristics of drug legislation and national guidelines for implementation within your country (are offences criminal; what is the range of possible penalties; are there alternatives to punishment)?

As stated in previous *Legal framework* workbooks, the classification of drugs and precursors in Ireland is made in accordance with the three United Nations conventions of 1961, 1971, and 1988. Irish legislation defines the importation, manufacture, trade in, and possession of most psychoactive substances as criminal offences. The principal criminal legislative framework is laid out in the revised Misuse of Drugs Act, 1977, updated to 29 July 2025 (Law Reform Commission 2025) of which the MDAs 1977 and 1984 and the Misuse of Drugs Regulations, 1988 are prominent. The offences of drug possession (MDA, 1977, Section 3) and possession for the purpose of supply (MDA, 1977, Section 15) are the principal forms of criminal charge used in the prosecution of drug offences in Ireland. The Misuse of Drugs Regulations, 1988 lists the various substances to which the laws apply under five schedules.

The majority of drug offences reported come under one of three Sections of the MDA, 1977, as follows:

- Section 3: possession of any controlled drug without due authorisation (simple possession)
- Section 15: possession of a controlled drug for the purpose of unlawful sale or supply (possession for sale or supply), and
- Section 21: obstructing the lawful exercise of a power conferred by the Act (obstruction).

Other MDA, 1977 offences that are regularly recorded relate to the importation of drugs, and include:

- Section 5: regulations to prevent misuse of controlled drugs
- Section 17: cultivation of cannabis plants, and
- Section 18: use of forged prescriptions.

Due to the ever-changing nature of the drug situation, drug-related legislation is continually being monitored and adapted accordingly.

Medicinal Products (Prescription and Control of Supply) Regulations 2003

Under S.I. No. 540/2003 – Medicinal Products (Prescription and Control of Supply) Regulations 2003, it is prohibited for a person to supply a prescription medicine except in accordance with a prescription, and the supply must be made from a registered retail pharmacy business or under the personal supervision of a registered pharmacist. A person who contravenes these Regulations is guilty of an offence. However, the illegal street sale of prescription drugs has emerged as an

important issue in the Irish drug scene in recent years (see discussion in Section T1.2.1 of the 2012 national report (Health Research Board 2012).

Misuse of Drugs (Amendment) Act 2015

The Misuse of Drugs (Amendment) Act 2015 was introduced as emergency legislation after the Court of Appeal found that a regulation making the possession of methylethcathinone (known as 4-Mec or 'snow blow') illegal was invalid (Hogan and Court of Appeal 2015). As a result of the judgment, all substances controlled by means of Government orders made under Section 2(2) of the MDA, 1977 (e.g. ecstasy, benzodiazepines, and NPS) ceased to be controlled with immediate effect, and their possession ceased to be an offence.

Under Section 2, the following statutory instruments (SIs) specified in Schedule 2 were given statutory effect:

- S.I. No. 164/1979 – Misuse of Drugs (Licence Fees) Regulations, 1979
- S.I. No. 321/1982 – Misuse of Drugs (Safe Custody) Regulations, 1982
- S.I. No. 326/1988 – Misuse of Drugs (Exemption) Order, 1988
- S.I. No. 328/1988 – Misuse of Drugs Regulations, 1988
- S.I. No. 69/1998 – Misuse of Drugs (Designation) Order, 1998, and
- S.I. No. 225/1998 – Misuse of Drugs (Supervision of Prescription and Supply of Methadone) Regulations, 1998.

Misuse of Drugs (Amendment) Act 2016

The Misuse of Drugs (Amendment) Act 2016 was enacted on 27 July 2016. The aim of the Act is to amend schedules to the MDAs 1977–2015. The main provisions of the 2016 Act included the addition of new substances, the revocation of ministerial regulations and orders confirmed in the Misuse of Drugs (Amendment) Act 2015, and some technical amendments.

Misuse of Drugs (Supervised Injecting Facilities) Act 2017

The Misuse of Drugs (Supervised Injecting Facilities) Act 2017 was signed into Irish law on 16 May 2017 and came into operation on 21 November 2017. The Act aimed to provide for the establishment, licensing, operation, and regulation of supervised injecting facilities for the purposes of reducing harm to people who inject drugs; to enhance the dignity, health, and well-being of people who inject drugs in public places; to reduce the incidence of drug injection and drug-related litter in public places and thereby to enhance the public amenity for the wider community; and to provide for matters related thereto.

Misuse of Drugs (Supervision of Prescription and Supply of Methadone and Medicinal Products containing Buprenorphine authorised for Opioid Substitution Treatment) Regulations 2017

The Misuse of Drugs (Supervision of Prescription and Supply of Methadone and Medicinal Products containing Buprenorphine authorised for Opioid Substitution Treatment) Regulations 2017 came into operation on 22 November 2017 and replaced the Misuse of Drugs (Supervision of Prescription and Supply of Methadone) Regulations, 1998 (S.I. No. 225/1998). The 2017 Regulations provided for the addition of certain buprenorphine medicinal products authorised for opioid substitution treatment to the schedule of products that come under the scope of the 2017 Regulations. In addition, several

references and definitions were updated. For the purpose of receiving information, the Minister for Health was replaced with the Health Service Executive (HSE). Responsibilities regarding record maintenance were also reassigned from the Minister for Health to the HSE.

Misuse of Drugs Regulations 2017 and 2019

The Misuse of Drugs Regulations 2017 and 2019 came into operation on 5 May 2017 and 26 June 2019, respectively. Part 3 of the 2017 Regulations imposed restrictions on the production, supply, importation, and exportation of controlled drugs as specified in Schedules 1–5 of the Regulations, which refer to drugs that are controlled under the MDAs 1977–2016. The 2019 Regulations amended the Misuse of Drugs Regulations 2017.

Misuse of Drugs (Amendment) Regulations 2020

S.I. No. 99/2020 – Misuse of Drugs (Amendment) Regulations 2020 was signed into Irish law on 10 April 2020. These Regulations allow for the amendment of the Misuse of Drugs Regulations 2017 and take the national electronic prescription transfer system established by S.I. No. 98/2020 – Medicinal Products (Prescription and Control of Supply) (Amendment) Regulations 2020 into account.

Misuse of Drugs (Prescription and Control of Supply of Cannabis for Medical Use) Regulations 2019

S.I. No. 262/2019 – Misuse of Drugs (Prescription and Control of Supply of Cannabis for Medical Use) Regulations 2019 was signed into Irish law on 26 June 2019. These Regulations allow for the use of certain cannabis products for the treatment of persons with specific medical conditions when they are under the care of a medical consultant.

Misuse of Drugs (Prescription and Control of Supply of Cannabis for Medical Use) (Amendment) Regulations 2021

S.I. No. 557/2021 – Misuse of Drugs (Prescription and Control of Supply of Cannabis for Medical Use) (Amendment) Regulations 2021 was signed into Irish law on 27 October 2021. The aim of these Regulations was to amend the Misuse of Drugs (Prescription and Control of Supply of Cannabis for Medical Use) Regulations 2019 to replace Schedule 1 in order to list certain cannabis products or preparations for medical use, such as Aurora High CBD Oil Drops, CannEpiL, and Aurora Sedamen Softgels capsules.

Misuse of Drugs (Prescription and Control of Supply of Cannabis for Medical Use) (Amendment) Regulations 2023

The purpose of S.I. No. 5/2023 – Misuse of Drugs (Prescription and Control of Supply of Cannabis for Medical Use) (Amendment) Regulations 2023 is to first update and replace the current Schedule 1 in the Misuse of Drugs (Prescription and Control of Supply of Cannabis for Medical Use) Regulations 2019 (as amended) (the “Principal Regulations”) in order to include additional cannabis products or preparations for medical use as “specified controlled drugs”. The purpose of the Principal Regulations is to allow additional cannabis products or preparations for medical use to be prescribed and supplied under certain circumstances for the treatment of persons with certain medical conditions under the care of a medical consultant.

Misuse of Drugs (Prescription and Control of Supply of Cannabis for Medical Use) (Amendment) (No. 2) Regulations 2023

S.I. No. 200/2023 – Misuse of Drugs (Prescription and Control of Supply of Cannabis for Medical Use) (Amendment) (No. 2) Regulations 2023 was signed into Irish law on 2 May 2023. The aim of these Regulations was to update and replace Schedule 1 in the Misuse of Drugs (Prescription and Control of Supply of Cannabis for Medical Use) Regulations 2019 (as amended) to include additional cannabis products or preparations for medical use as “specified controlled drugs”.

Penalties for drug offences in Ireland

Table 1 shows a summary of penalties provided for under various Sections of the MDA, 1977–2017; the Criminal Justice (Psychoactive Substances) Act 2010; the Road Traffic Act 2016; the Criminal Justice (Community Service) Act, 1983; and the Maritime Safety Act 2005. The fines and sentence lengths vary by legislation; by Section that offenders are being prosecuted under; and also by whether it is a first, second, or subsequent offence. There were no changes to these penalties in 2023.

Table 1. Summary of penalties received for drug offences in Ireland

| Subject to Section | Penalty |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| MDAs 1977–2017 | |
| <p>Section 3*[†] Restriction on possession of controlled drugs</p> <p>27a) Where a controlled drug is cannabis or cannabis resin and the court is satisfied that possession was for own use</p> | <p>First offence:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On summary conviction – Class D fine not exceeding €1,000, or • On conviction on indictment – Class C fine not exceeding €2,500. <p>Second offence:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On summary conviction – Class D fine not exceeding €1,000, or • On conviction on indictment – Class C fine not exceeding €2,500. <p>Third and subsequent offences:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • On summary conviction – Class C fine not exceeding €2,500, or imprisonment not exceeding 12 months at the court’s discretion, or both fine and imprisonment, or • On conviction on indictment – fine of such amount that the court considers appropriate, or, at the court’s discretion, imprisonment for a term not exceeding 3 years, or both fine and imprisonment. |
| | |

| Subject to Section | Penalty |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p>b) Any other case</p> | <p>On summary conviction –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Class C fine not exceeding €2,500, or • Imprisonment not exceeding 12 months at the court’s discretion, or • Both fine and imprisonment. <p>Or</p> <p>On conviction on indictment –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fine of such amount as the court considers appropriate, or • Imprisonment not exceeding 7 years at the court’s discretion, or • Both fine and imprisonment. |
| <p>Section 6[†] Directions prohibiting prescribing, supply, etc. of controlled drugs by practitioners or pharmacists convicted of offences</p> <p>or</p> <p>Section 7[†] Special directions prohibiting prescribing, etc. of controlled drug in certain cases</p> <p>or</p> <p>Section 16 Prohibition of certain activities, etc. relating to opium</p> <p>or</p> <p>Section 17[†] Prohibition of cultivation of opium poppy or cannabis plant</p> <p>or</p> <p>Section 19[†] Occupiers, etc. permitting certain activities to take place on land, vehicle, or vessels to be guilty of an offence</p> <p>or</p> <p>Section 20[†] Offences relating to acts outside the State</p> | <p>On summary conviction –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Class C fine not exceeding €2,500, or • Imprisonment not exceeding 12 months at the court’s discretion, or • Both fine and imprisonment. <p>Or</p> <p>On conviction on indictment –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fine of such amount as the court considers appropriate, or • Imprisonment not exceeding 14 years at the court’s discretion, or • Both fine and imprisonment. |

| Subject to Section | Penalty |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p>Section 15A[†] Offence relating to possession of drugs with value of €13,000 or more</p> <p>or</p> <p>Section 15B[‡] Importation of controlled drugs in excess of certain value (amounts to €13,000 or more)</p> | <p>On conviction on indictment –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Imprisonment for life or such shorter term as the court may determine, and • At the court’s discretion, fine of such amount as the court considers appropriate. <p>The court can:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Take into account whether the offender has a previous conviction for a drug trafficking offence • Impose a sentence with a term of not less than 10 years as the minimum term of imprisonment to be served by the offender • Determine a sentence unjust if exceptional and specific circumstances relating to the offence exist • If exceptional circumstances exist, take into account: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ whether the offender pleaded guilty to the offence ○ when and under what circumstances the guilty plea was provided ○ whether the offender was helpful during the investigation ○ whether the offender was previously convicted of a drug trafficking offence, and ○ whether it is in the interest of the public to impose a shorter sentence. <p>When the market value of drugs is greater than €13,000, or drugs are imported with a value greater than €13,000 –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If there are no exceptional circumstances, the offender is liable to a minimum sentence of 10 years. |
| <p>Section 15C[§] Supply of controlled drugs into prisons and places of detention</p> | <p>On summary conviction –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Class B fine not exceeding €4,000 in District Court, or • Imprisonment not exceeding 12 months at the court’s discretion, or • Both fine and imprisonment. |

| Subject to Section | Penalty |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | <p>Or</p> <p>On conviction on indictment –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fine of such an amount as the court considers appropriate, or • Imprisonment not exceeding 10 years at the court’s discretion, or • Both fine and imprisonment. |
| <p>Section 18*† Forged or fraudulently altered prescriptions</p> | <p>On summary conviction –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Class D fine not exceeding €1,000, or • Imprisonment not exceeding 6 months at the court’s discretion, or • Both fine and imprisonment. <p>Or</p> <p>On conviction on indictment –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fine of such an amount as the court considers appropriate, or • Imprisonment not exceeding 3 years at the court’s discretion, or • Both fine and imprisonment. |
| <p>Section 21 (1)† Attempts, etc. and miscellaneous other offences</p> <p>a) In case the regulation in relation to which the offence was committed is a regulation made pursuant to Section 5(1)(a) of this Act, other than a regulation regulating the transportation of controlled drugs</p> <p>b) In case the regulation in relation to which the offence was committed is a regulation made other than under the said Section 5(1)(a) or is a regulation regulating the transportation of controlled drugs</p> | <p>On summary conviction –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Class C fine not exceeding €2,500, or • Imprisonment not exceeding 12 months at the court’s discretion, or • Both fine and imprisonment. <p>Or</p> <p>On conviction on indictment –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fine of such an amount as the court considers appropriate, or • Imprisonment not exceeding 14 years at the court’s discretion, or • Both fine and imprisonment. <p>On summary conviction –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Class C fine not exceeding €2,500, or • Imprisonment not exceeding 6 months at the court’s discretion, or • Both fine and imprisonment. <p>Or</p> |

| Subject to Section | Penalty |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | On conviction on indictment – <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fine of such an amount as the court considers appropriate, or • Imprisonment not exceeding 2 years at the court’s discretion, or • Both fine and imprisonment. |
| Section 21 Offences other than those mentioned in subsections 1 or 2 | On summary conviction – <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Class D fine not exceeding €1,000, or • Imprisonment not exceeding 6 months at the court’s discretion, or • Both fine and imprisonment. |
| Section 23 [†] Power of An Garda Síochána to search persons, vehicles, vessels, or aircraft | On summary conviction – <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Class E fine not exceeding €500. |
| Section 5 [†] Printing, etc. of certain books, etc., communication of certain information, and possession of certain documents is an offence | On summary conviction – <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Where the offence is an offence under subsection (2) of that Section, Class C fine not exceeding €2,500, or • In any other case, Class C fine not exceeding €2,500. |
| Criminal Justice (Psychoactive Substances) Act 2010 | |
| Section 3 Prohibition of sale, etc. of psychoactive substances Section 4 Prohibition of sale of certain objects Section 5 Prohibition of advertising of psychoactive substances, etc. | On summary conviction – <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Class A fine not exceeding €5,000, or • Imprisonment for a term not exceeding 12 months at the court’s discretion, or • Both fine and imprisonment. Or On conviction on indictment – <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fine of such an amount as the court considers appropriate, or • Imprisonment not exceeding 5 years at the court’s discretion, or • Both fine and imprisonment. |
| Road Traffic Act 2016 | |
| Section 8 Offences involving certain drugs | On summary conviction – |

| Subject to Section | Penalty |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <p>Subsection 1(C) Signing a medical exemption certificate containing information that he/she knows to be false</p> <p>Section 11 Mandatory intoxicant testing</p> <p>Section 12 Impairment testing</p> <p>Section 13/13B Obligation to provide oral fluid and blood specimens in relation to certain offences involving drugs</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Class C fine not exceeding €2,500. <p>On summary conviction –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Class A fine not exceeding €5,000, or • Imprisonment for a term not exceeding 6 months at the court’s discretion, or • Both fine and imprisonment. |
| Maritime Safety Act 2005 | |
| <p>Section 27 Conduct endangering vessels, structures, or individuals</p> <p>Section 28 Prohibition on operating vessels while under the influence of alcohol or drugs</p> <p>Section 29 Drunkenness, etc. of passengers or members of crew</p> <p>Section 30 Control of consumption of alcohol or drugs on board a vessel (commander or crew)</p> <p>Section 32 Prohibition on endangering vessels or persons on board</p> | <p>On summary conviction –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fine not exceeding €5,000, or • Imprisonment for a term not exceeding 6 months, or • Both fine and imprisonment. <p>Or</p> <p>On conviction on indictment –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fine not exceeding €100,000, or • Imprisonment for a term not exceeding 2 years, or • Both fine and imprisonment. <p>On summary conviction –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fine not exceeding €5,000, or • Imprisonment for a term not exceeding 3 months, or • Both fine and imprisonment. <p>On summary conviction –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fine not exceeding €5,000. <p>On summary conviction –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fine not exceeding €5,000, or • Imprisonment for a term not exceeding 3 months, or • Both fine and imprisonment. |

| Subject to Section | Penalty |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | On summary conviction – <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fine not exceeding €5,000, or • Imprisonment for a term not exceeding 6 months, or • Both fine and imprisonment. Or On conviction on indictment – <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fine not exceeding €100,000, or • Imprisonment for a term not exceeding 2 years, or • Both fine and imprisonment. |
| Criminal Justice (Community Service) Act, 1983 | |
| Section 7 Requirements under Community Service Order and failure to comply with such requirements | On summary conviction – <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Fine not exceeding €300. |

* Subject to Section 28, which gives power of court to remand offenders convicted, to obtain a report, and in certain cases to arrange for medical treatment.

† As amended by the Misuse of Drugs Act, 1984.

‡ As amended by the Criminal Justice Act 2007.

§ As amended by the Criminal Justice Act 2006.

|| As amended by the Criminal Justice (Psychoactive Substances) Act 2010.

Sources: <http://www.irishstatutebook.ie/> and <http://www.citizensinformation.ie/en/>

Further information on all legislation, SIs, and regulations referred to in this workbook can be retrieved from the electronic Irish Statute Book (<http://www.irishstatutebook.ie/>).

Alternatives to punishment

Under current legislation in Ireland, possession or supply of illicit drugs are considered criminal offences. However, a range of options is available to the court in dealing with those who have committed a criminal offence. The legislature generally sets the maximum sentence that can be imposed within the drug legislation, and it is then a matter for the judiciary to decide what is the appropriate sentence in a particular case, taking into account all the circumstances surrounding the crime and the individual offender (personal communication, Department of Health, 2017). Options available to the court to deal with drug offences include fines and custody (see Table 1 of this workbook), imposition of a Peace Bond/Probation Order, and suspended sentence (see Section T1.2.1 of the *Drug markets and crime* workbook for 2024 data.)

In addition, different factors can operate either to mitigate an offence or as aggravating influences that can affect the punishment. In some cases, a court may issue alternatives to punishment, which are defined as “measures that are rehabilitative, such as treatment, education, aftercare,

rehabilitation and social reintegration” (European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction 2015) (p. 2).

In Ireland, under the Criminal Justice (Community Service) Act, 1983, as amended in 2011, a court may issue a Community Service Order as an alternative sentence. The premise behind the order is that the offender is required to carry out unpaid work for a minimum of 40 hours and up to a maximum of 240 hours. In order for a Community Service Order to be issued, the court must consider the offender’s circumstances, review a report about the offender from a probation and welfare officer, and determine the suitability of the offender to carry out work under such an order and whether arrangements can be made to do so.

Similarly, under the Probation of Offenders Act, 1907, Section 1(1) allows a court to make an order before proceeding to conviction to:

- Dismiss the information or charge, or
- Discharge the offender conditionally on his entering into a recognisance, with or without sureties, to be of good behaviour and to appear for conviction and sentence when called on at any time during such period, not exceeding 3 years.

Factors that influence this outcome include the character, antecedents, age, health, or mental condition of the person charged, or the trivial nature of the offence, or the extenuating circumstances under which the offence was committed. Section 1(1) cannot be applied to some offences; for example, drink driving offences.

Section 1(2) of the Probation of Offenders Act, 1907 is applied to indictable offences that are punishable with imprisonment. Under Section 1(1) and Section 1(2), offenders are supervised by probation officers.

Please see Section T2.2 of this workbook for further information on alternatives to punishment, such as the Garda Youth Diversion Programmes, the Adult Cautioning Scheme, and the Bail Supervision Scheme (BSS).

T1.1.2. How do the penalties vary by drug / quantity / addiction/recidivism?

Drug

Sentencing in cannabis possession cases

As per the MDA, 1977, Section 3 and Section 27(1)(a), as amended by the Criminal Justice Act, 1984, possession of cannabis for personal use is punishable by a fine on the first or second conviction. From the third offence onwards, the offender can incur prison sentences of up to 1 year (summary) or up to 3 years (on indictment), or a fine, or both.

Sentencing in trafficking cases

The different drug trafficking offences and their associated penalties are set out in Sections 15, 15A and 15B of the MDA, 1977 (as amended), and Section 3(1) of the Criminal Justice Act, 1994 (as amended), as follows: “Any person who has in his possession, whether lawfully or not, a controlled drug for the purpose of selling or otherwise supplying it to another in contravention of the regulations made under Section 5 of this Act, shall be guilty of an offence.” The penalty on summary

conviction may be a fine not exceeding €2,500, or up to 12 months' imprisonment, or both, while the penalty for conviction on indictment may be a fine, or imprisonment for life, or both.

Quantity

Drug trafficking offences are differentiated only by the market value of the product and not by the quantity, such that penalties for offences under Section 15A (possession), Section 15B (importation), and Section 27(3A) of the MDA, 1977 are similar to an offence under Section 15. If the market value of drugs is greater than €13,000, and there are no exceptional circumstances, an offender is liable to a presumptive mandatory minimum sentence of 10 years.

However, the following provisions are in place:

- A court can impose a sentence of less than 10 years for a first offence if it determines that there are exceptional circumstances.
- A court must impose a sentence of not less than 10 years if the accused has a previous conviction for a second or subsequent offence under Sections 15A or 15B of the MDA, 1977 or has been convicted under one of these Sections and has been convicted under the other Section on another occasion.

Addiction

An offender who suffers from an addiction comes under the remit of Section 27(3D) of the MDA 1977, which specifies that if an individual has exceptional and specific circumstances relating to the offence or the person convicted of the offence, it would be unjust in all circumstances to specify the minimum term of imprisonment of not less than 10 years. In this instance, when imposing a sentence on any individual with an addiction convicted of an offence under Sections 15A or 15B of the MDA, 1977, a court may:

- Under Section 27(3J):
 - a) ask if the offender was addicted to one or more drugs at the time that the offence was carried out, and
 - b) if satisfied that the offender was so addicted at the time, and that the addiction was a key factor in the offence being carried out, list the sentence for review after 50% of the sentence has expired.
- Under Section 27(3K), on reviewing the sentence under subsection (3J)(b):
 - a) suspend the remainder of the sentence on any conditions it considers fit, and
 - b) if it decides to exercise its powers, have regard to any matters it considers appropriate.

Recidivism

In order to reduce repeat offending, legislative provisions are in place such that sentencing for second or subsequent offences is enhanced. Table 1 illustrates the variations in penalties for drug-related crimes. For example, under Section 3A of the MDA, 1977, in relation to a conviction on indictment for cannabis (section 27(a)) a second offence can result in a fine not exceeding €2,500, which is double that which may be given for a first offence. A third offence can result in a fine that

the court considers appropriate, or imprisonment for a term not exceeding 3 years, or both a fine and imprisonment. See Section T3.2 of this workbook for recent figures for recidivism in Ireland.

Aggravating and mitigating factors

Section 27(3D) of the MDA, 1977 sets out a number of different mitigating and aggravating factors (in paragraphs (b) and (c), respectively) that a court must consider when imposing a sentence under Section 15A or 15B, and when deciding whether or not to deviate from the mandatory minimum sentence. These factors are as follows:

- Aggravating factors include any previous drug trafficking convictions, other than under Sections 15A or 15B of the MDA, 1977, and whether the public interest would be served; for example, by preventing drug trafficking by the imposition of a lesser sentence.
- Mitigating factors include any matters that the court considers appropriate, including whether the person pleaded guilty to the offence, the stage at which they indicated the intention to plead guilty, the circumstances in which the indication was given, and whether the person materially assisted in the investigation of the offence.

Other than the issues described in this section within this workbook, there are no official guidelines for sentencing or prosecuting for the trafficking of illicit drugs.

T1.1.3. What, if any, legislation within your country is designed to control New Psychoactive Substances (NPS)?

Since 2000, significant laws have been introduced, initially in response to organised crime, but later in response to head shops selling NPS. (See also Pike (Pike 2008), Appendix II, for a listing of legislation enacted between 2001 and 2007 that impacts directly or indirectly on drug policy.)

Criminal Justice (Psychoactive Substances) Act 2010

The main legislation controlling NPS in Ireland is the Criminal Justice (Psychoactive Substances) Act 2010. The Act formed part of a multifaceted approach to reduce the availability of substances that were not controlled by the MDAs 1977–2017. Under the main provisions of the Criminal Justice (Psychoactive Substances) Act 2010, it is an offence to sell, import, or export NPS; to sell equipment that enables cultivation; and to advertise NPS.

There were no changes to this Act in 2024. See Table 1 for penalties associated with offences under this Act.

Supplementations to normal drug law

Due to the evolving nature of the drug situation in Ireland, since their initial implementation, drug laws have been frequently amended with a number of supplementations, such as amendments, SIs, regulations, declarations, and exemptions, such as:

- S.I. No. 199/2010 – Misuse of Drugs Act 1977 (Controlled Drugs) (Declaration) Order 2010
- S.I. No. 200/2010 – Misuse of Drugs (Amendment) Regulations 2010
- S.I. No. 201/2010 – Misuse of Drugs (Designation) (Amendment) Order 2010
- S.I. No. 202/2010 – Misuse of Drugs (Exemption) (Amendment) Order 2010

- S.I. No. 551/2011 – Misuse of Drugs Act 1977 (Controlled Drugs) (Declaration) Order 2011
- S.I. No. 552/2011 – Misuse of Drugs (Amendment) Regulations 2011
- S.I. No. 553/2011 – Misuse of Drugs (Designation) (Amendment) Order 2011
- S.I. No. 554/2011 – Misuse of Drugs (Exemption) (Amendment) Order 2011
- S.I. No. 544/2012 – Misuse of Drugs (Licence Fees) (Amendment) Regulations 2012
- S.I. No. 323/2014 – Misuse of Drugs (Amendment) Regulations 2014
- S.I. No. 324/2014 – Misuse of Drugs (Designation) (Amendment) Order 2014
- S.I. No. 571/2014 – Misuse of Drugs Act, 1977 (Controlled Drugs) (Declaration) Order 2014
- S.I. No. 583/2014 – Misuse of Drugs (Amendment) (No. 2) Regulations 2014
- S.I. No. 584/2014 – Misuse of Drugs (Designation) (Amendment) (No. 2) Order 2014
- S.I. No. 174/2017 – Misuse of Drugs (Designation) Order 2017
- S.I. No. 175/2017 – Misuse of Drugs (Exemption) Order 2017
- S.I. No. 531/2017 – Misuse of Drugs Act 1977 (Controlled Drugs) (Declaration) Order 2017
- S.I. No. 532/2017 – Misuse of Drugs (Amendment) Regulations 2017
- S.I. No. 533/2017 – Misuse of Drugs (Designation) (Amendment) Order 2017
- S.I. No. 281/2019 – Misuse of Drugs (Designation) (Amendment) Order 2019
- S.I. No. 82/2021 – Misuse of Drugs Act 1977 (Controlled Drugs) (Declaration) Order 2021
- S.I. No. 121/2021 – Misuse of Drugs (Amendment) Regulations 2021
- S.I. No. 122/2021 – Misuse of Drugs (Controlled Drugs) (Designation) Order 2021
- S.I. No. 211/2022 – Misuse of Drugs Act 1977 (Controlled Drugs) (Designation) Order 2022
- S.I. No. 210/2022 – Misuse of Drugs (Amendment) Regulations 2022
- S.I. No. 176/2022 – Misuse of Drugs Act 1977 (Controlled Drugs) (Declaration) Order 2022
- S.I. No. 237/2023 – Misuse of Drugs Act 1977 (Controlled Drugs) (Designation) (Amendment) Order 2023
- S.I. No. 150/2023 – Misuse of Drugs (Amendment) Regulations 2023
- S.I. No. 156/2023 – Misuse of Drugs (Amendment) (No. 2) Regulations 2023, and
- S.I. No. 71/2024 – Misuse of Drugs (Amendment) Regulations 2024

The most recent supplementations to Irish drugs law in 2024 can be found in Section T3.1 of this workbook.

Misuse of Drugs (Amendment) Act 2016

In an effort to deal with the transient nature of NPS, the Misuse of Drugs (Amendment) Act 2016 was enacted in July 2016. The Act includes the addition of NPS that have recently emerged on the Irish market. Please see Section T1.1.1 of this workbook for further information on this Act.

T1.1.4. Optional. If available provide information in a separate paragraph on other topics relevant to the understanding of the legal framework for responding to drugs in your country, such as: drug driving, workplace regulations, drug testing, precursor control, organised crime legislation relevant to drug trafficking, issues focused on minors. Regulatory aspects of treatment and harm reduction are also of interest.

Other topics that are relevant to the understanding of the legal framework for responding to drugs in Ireland include those outlined throughout the remainder of this section.

Drug driving

Driving under the influence of drugs has been a statutory offence in Ireland since the introduction of the Road Traffic Act, 1961. Since its introduction, several amendments have been made to the Act, as detailed in the following subsections.

Road Traffic Act 2014

The Road Traffic Act 2014 amended and extended the Road Traffic Acts 1961–2011, as follows:

- Section 11 empowered An Garda Síochána (AGS) to undertake intoxication impairment testing on people who are driving or attempting to drive a mechanically propelled vehicle in a public place under the influence of drugs.
- Section 12 amended the Road Traffic Act 2010 to allow for the taking, subject to medical approval, of a specimen of blood from an incapacitated (i.e. unconscious) person following a road traffic collision involving death or injury.

Road Traffic Act 2016

The Road Traffic Act 2016 amended and extended the Road Traffic Acts 1961–2015 and provided for several measures to improve safety on Irish roads. The Act extended the definition of ‘analysis’ and provided a new definition of ‘medical exemption certificate’ to cater for individuals testing positive for drugs that were being taken for medical reasons. Under the Act, it is an offence: a) for certain drugs to be present above a certain level; and b) to attempt to drive a vehicle while under the influence. In addition, gardaí were given powers to carry out drug testing using special devices, and to set up checkpoints. Individuals are required to accompany a garda to a nearby location to carry out impairment tests safely and are obliged to provide oral fluid specimens if suspected of offences involving drugs. The Medical Bureau of Road Safety is tasked with analysing collected specimens. Penalties associated with road traffic offences are presented in Table 1.

Table 2. Drugs specified in the Road Traffic Act 2016

| Reference no. (1) | Drug (2) | Level (units in whole blood) (3) |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| 1 | Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol (cannabis) | 1 nanogram per millilitre (ng/mL) |

| Reference no. (1) | Drug (2) | Level (units in whole blood) (3) |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 2 | 11-nor-9-carboxy- Δ^9 -tetrahydrocannabinol (cannabis) | 5 ng/mL |
| 3 | Cocaine | 10 ng/mL |
| 4 | Benzoyllecgonine (cocaine) | 50 ng/mL |
| 5 | 6-acetylmorphine (heroin) | 5 ng/mL |

Road Traffic (Amendment) Act 2018

The Road Traffic (Amendment) Act 2018 was enacted on 23 July 2018. The Act provides for automatic disqualification from driving in the case of a person paying a fixed charge in respect of drink driving offences. The aim of the Act is to amend the Road Traffic Act 2016; the Road Traffic Act 2010; the Road Traffic Act, 2002; the Road Traffic Act, 1994; and the Road Traffic Act, 1961, and to provide for related matters.

Road Traffic Act 2024

The Road Traffic Act 2024 was enacted on 17 April 2024. The purpose of the Act is to overcome anomalies evident in the existing legislation. It provides for changes to the penalty points regime (Part 2), speed limits (Part 3), and mandatory roadside testing of drivers involved in serious collisions for intoxicants, which will now include drug testing (Part 4). This section will focus on Part 4, which provides for several amendments to the Road Traffic Act 2010.

Drug testing in the workplace

Legislative provision has been made for mandatory drug and/or alcohol testing in certain work contexts (e.g. the Defence Forces, the maritime and railway industries) and in workplaces generally.

Defence Forces

Due to the unique and challenging nature of working in the military or Defence Forces, personnel are required to be free of the presence or influence of any controlled drug or substances (Kehoe 2017). Compulsory random drug testing (CRDT), which was introduced in 2002, is intended as a deterrent. In 2009, an additional measure – targeted drugs testing – was introduced in order to improve the existing system. Targeted drugs testing measures mean that any member of the Defence Forces – that is, any person employed in the Army, Air Corps, Naval Service, or Reserve – who obtains a positive result following CRDT can agree, at the discretion of the relevant General Officer Commanding, to undertake a maximum of six targeted drug tests over 18 months (O’Dea 2009). A positive result following CRDT results in a dishonourable discharge from the Defence Forces. Each year, 10% of Defence Forces personnel are tested (Kehoe 2017). There are no up-to-date data for CRDT and targeted drugs testing in 2024. Existing data (2009-2023) can be found in Section T2.3, Tables T2.3.1 and T2.3.2 in the *Drug markets and crime* workbook.

Maritime safety

The Maritime Safety Act 2005 strengthens the law against the improper use of mechanically propelled personal watercraft (e.g. jet skis) and other recreational craft. There was no change to this Act in 2023. The relevant Sections of this Act are as follows:

- Section 28 prohibits operation of vessels in Irish waters while under the influence of alcohol or drugs.

- Section 29 entitles the commander of a vessel to refuse permission to a person intoxicated by alcohol or drugs to board a vessel.
 - Section 30 prohibits the consumption of alcohol or drugs by any person on board a vessel.
- Penalties for not complying with this legislation are provided in Section T1.1.1 of this workbook.

Railway safety

The Railway Safety Act 2005 provides for the testing of safety-critical workers for the presence of alcohol and/or drugs. Under the Act, the Railway Safety Commission (now the Commission for Railway Regulation) was established and given the power to:

- Approve codes of conduct in relation to intoxicants that must be upheld by safety-critical workers (Section 88), and
- Obtain a sample of a worker's blood or urine, in accordance with the sampling procedures and support services that railway undertakings are required to implement (Section 89).

In addition, in relation to the testing of safety-critical workers, the Commission for Railway Regulation is expected to provide an annual report on all measures provided for in the Act that are implemented.

There are two agencies that operate under this legislative framework: Iarnród Éireann and Transdev Ireland, which operates the Luas tram network in Dublin. These agencies are obliged to implement statutory-compliant safety management systems (Nic Lochlainn 2018). Both organisations are obliged to implement a statutory code of conduct for drugs and alcohol for safety-critical railway workers that outlines the workplace policy for testing workers for intoxicants. Disciplinary sanctions apply for non-compliance, up to and including dismissal. Safety is regulated by the Commission for Railway Regulation, and any accidents or incidents are investigated by the Railway Accident Investigation Unit. Random drug and alcohol testing is regularly carried out; however, no data are currently available.

Safety, health and welfare at work

The Safety, Health and Welfare at Work Act 2005 provides for securing the safety, health, and welfare of persons at work. Under Section 13(1)(b), an employee must not be under the influence of intoxicants to the extent that they will endanger their own safety, health, or welfare at work, or that of any other person. In addition, under Section 13(1)(c), an employee must submit to any appropriate, reasonable, and proportionate tests by a competent practitioner if so required.

Drug testing in prisons

The Prisons Act 2007, Revised (updated to 1 August 2023) provides for the making of rules by the Minister for Justice for the regulation and good governance of prisons; for example, Section 35(2)(j) allows for the testing of prisoners for intoxicants, including alcohol and other drugs. Comprehensive prison rules were issued by the Department of Justice (DOJ) under S.I. No. 252/2007 – Prison Rules, 2007 and provided for the introduction of compulsory or mandatory drug testing of prisoners (see Section 26(5)).

Organised crime offences: Referral of cases to Special Criminal Court

The Criminal Justice Act 2006 specified participation in a criminal organisation as an offence. Following on from this, Section 8 of the Criminal Justice (Amendment) Act 2009 declared that ordinary courts were inadequate as a means of securing the effective administration of justice, and

the preservation of public peace and order, in relation to an offence under four provisions of Part 7 of the 2006 Act.

Offences under Part 7 of the Criminal Justice Act 2006 include:

- Section 71: a person who conspires to commit an offence inside or outside the State regardless of whether it takes place or not
- Section 72: a person who commits an offence in the State or a place outside the State for the purpose of enhancing the ability of a criminal organisation
- Section 73: a person who commits an offence for the benefit of, or at the direction of, a criminal organisation, and
- Section 76: an offence committed by a body corporate and proven to have been committed with the consent or neglect of any person being a director, manager, secretary, or officer, or someone acting in that capacity.

Under Section 8 of the Criminal Justice (Amendment) Act 2009, offences are deemed to be scheduled offences for the purposes of Part V of the Offences Against the State Act, 1939, as if the order was made under Section 36 of the 1939 Act in relation to it and subsection (3) of that Section, and Section 37 of the 1939 Act shall apply to such an offence accordingly.

None of these offences can be seen as influencing or limiting the powers exercised by:

- The Government under the provisions of Sections 35 or 36 of the Offences Against the State Act, 1939, and
- The Director of Public Prosecutions (DPP) under Section 45(2) of the Offences Against the State Act, 1939 to direct that a person is not sent for trial in the Special Criminal Court, which operates with three judges and without a jury.

Unless a resolution is put forward for it to continue, Section 8 of the Criminal Justice (Amendment) Act 2009 ceases to be in operation within 12 months of the Act being passed. Before a resolution is put forward, the Minister for Justice is required to present a report outlining how this Section has operated since it was last confirmed. For information on the most recent renewal provisions of Section 8, see Section T3.4 of this workbook.

Offences Against the State (Amendment) Act, 1998

The Offences Against the State (Amendment) Act, 1998 amends and extends the Offences Against the State Acts 1939–1985. It was introduced in response to the Omagh bombing in 1998. The measures in the Act targeted four areas:

1. They changed the rules of evidence applied to the offence of membership of unlawful organisations.
2. They created new substantive offences relevant to the activities of unlawful organisations and those who support them.
3. They strengthened the hands of the courts regarding those supporting activities of unlawful organisations or engaging in offences on their behalf.
4. They extended the maximum period of detention permitted under Section 30 of the Offences Against the State Act, 1939.

For information on the most recent confirmation of this Act, see Section T3.4 of this workbook.

Revenue Commissioners Customs Division

Following its enactment in June 2015, the Customs Act 2015 commenced in full in December 2016. Under the provisions of the Act, offenders can be penalised for the same offence under several pieces of legislation at the same time. Customs officers have the power to search, seize, and detain anything that may be used as evidence of an offence under the MDA, 1977. In addition, if a Customs officer believes that an individual is in possession of controlled drugs that are hidden internally, the officer is allowed to detain the individual and is required to hand the individual over to a member of AGS as soon as possible.

Postal service

The Interception of Postal Packets and Telecommunications Messages (Regulation) Act, 1993 regulates the intervention of certain postal packets. Under Section 2 of the Act, and with conditions noted in Section 4, permission can be obtained from the Minister for Law Enforcement to intercept a package if it is for the purpose of a criminal investigation.

Public Transport Act 2016

The Public Transport Act 2016 was enacted on 8 February 2016. The aim of the Act is to provide technical amendments to the following Acts:

- Dublin Transport Authority Act 2008
- Taxi Regulation Act 2013
- Road Traffic Act, 1961
- Railway Safety Act 2005, and
- State Airports Act 2004.

The amendment to the Dublin Transport Authority Act 2008 allows an authorised person or member of AGS who suspects that a person is committing or has committed an offence under Section 15 of the MDA, 1977 to remove or escort an individual from any form of public passenger transport. An arrest can be made:

- If justified, or
- If the individual is not willing to provide a name or address, or
- If the individual gives a false name and address.

European Union (Freezing and Confiscation of Instrumentalities and Proceeds of Crime) Regulations 2017

Secondary legislation (S.I. No. 540/2017 – European Union (Freezing and Confiscation of Instrumentalities and Proceeds of Crime) Regulations 2017) was enacted in November 2017 to implement Directive 2014/42/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council of 3 April 2014 on the freezing and confiscation of instrumentalities and proceeds of crime in the European Union (EU). The Directive allows for law enforcement agencies of EU member states to confiscate and recover the profits that criminals make from serious and organised cross-border crime. It lays down minimum rules with respect to the freezing and confiscation of criminal assets through direct confiscation, value confiscation, extended confiscation, non-conviction-based confiscation (in

restricted circumstances), and third-party confiscation. S.I. No. 470/2019 – European Union (Freezing and Confiscation of Instrumentalities and Proceeds of Crime) Regulations 2019 gives further effect to the Directive 2014/42/EU by adding additional regulations.

Judicial Council Act 2019

The Judicial Council Act 2019 was enacted on 23 July 2019. Sections 1, 2, 24(4), 25, 32–34, 44(6), 45, 65, and 66(3) commenced in September 2019. Sections 65, 66 (1–6), and 67–97 commenced in December 2019, and Sections 98 and 99 commenced in April 2021. All remaining sections came into operation in October 2022. The Act provides for the establishment of a Judicial Council, which will be an independent body that will promote and maintain excellence and high standards in the Irish justice system. In addition, it will provide a statutory basis for the training of judges and for the investigation of complaints against judges.

Under the provisions of the Act, the Judicial Council will also be responsible for establishing several subcommittees, including a Judicial Studies Committee; a Personal Injuries Guidelines Committee; a Sentencing Guidelines and Information Committee; and a Judicial Conduct Committee.

Parole Act 2019

The Parole Act 2019 was enacted on 23 July 2019 and commenced in July 2021. The purpose of the Act is to confer responsibility for granting parole upon an independent statutory body. The Act is divided into three parts: Part 1 addresses preliminary and general matters; Part 2 addresses the Parole Board and its powers and composition; and Part 3 deals with parole applications, guiding principles, and the parole process.

Criminal Records (Exchange of Information) Act 2019

The Criminal Records (Exchange of Information) Act 2019 was enacted on 26 December 2019 and commenced on 7 February 2020. The aim of the Act is to allow for the exchange of criminal records information between Ireland and other EU member states. The Act transposes two decisions into Irish law:

1. Council Framework Decision 2009/315/JHA of 26 February 2009, which gives effect to the organisation and content of the exchange of information extracted from the criminal record between EU member states, and
2. Council Decision 2009/316/JHA of 6 April 2009, which gives effect to the establishment of the European Criminal Records Information System in application of Article 11 of Framework Decision 2009/315/JHA.

The Act contains several provisions, including:

- Section 3, which provides for the recording of the nationality of convicted persons
- Section 4, which provides for the exchange of information where a person from another EU member state is convicted of an offence in Ireland
- Section 5, which provides for the exchange of information where an Irish national is convicted of an offence in another EU member state

- Section 6, which provides for the exchange of information from the Criminal Records Database to be used in criminal proceedings against an individual, who can be a national of Ireland or a national of another EU member state (a copy of the criminal record can be provided on request), and
- Section 9, which provides for time limits for replies to requests for information; these must be carried out no later than 10 working days from when the request was received.

Annex A of the Criminal Records (Exchange of Information) Act 2019 provides an outline of common offence categories where the exchange of information would come under this Act, including: crimes within the jurisdiction of the International Criminal Court; participation in a criminal organisation; terrorism; human and illicit trafficking; offences related to drugs or precursors and other offences against public health; offences against the State; offences against information systems and other computer-related crime; forgery; falsification of documents; and offences against traffic regulations.

Counterfeiting Act 2021

The Counterfeiting Act 2021 was enacted on 5 July 2021 and commenced on 3 August 2021. The Act transposes Directive 2014/62/EU of the European Parliament (Part 2), which updates and replaces Council Framework Decision 2000/383/JHA. The Act provides for three EU instruments that address the authenticity of euro banknotes and euro coins, as well as measures that will protect the euro against counterfeiting. The Act strengthens and adds to existing offences in the Criminal Justice (Theft and Fraud Offences) Act, 2001.

Criminal Procedure Act 2021

The Criminal Procedure Act 2021 was enacted on 24 May 2021 and commenced on 28 February 2022. The Act provides for preliminary trial hearings in respect of trials of certain criminal offences (Part 2) and for the provision of certain information to juries (Part 3). In addition, it allows for amendments to be made to the Criminal Procedure Act, 1967; the Criminal Justice Act, 1984; and the Criminal Procedure Act 2021, and other related matters (Part 4). The Act is expected to reduce delays and interruptions, increase efficiency in how criminal trials are carried out in Ireland, and reduce the length of trials (McEntee 2021b). In addition, preliminary hearings are expected to reduce the probability and frequency of the jury being sent away after being sworn in; this will reduce the impact on victims because there will be a higher likelihood that the trial will go ahead on the appointed day (McEntee 2021b).

Maritime Jurisdiction Act 2021

The Maritime Jurisdiction Act 2021 was enacted on 22 July 2021. The Act provides for the consolidation of the State's maritime jurisdiction legislation and updates international law by giving effect to certain provisions of the United Nations Convention on the Law of the Sea and other related matters. The Act contains the following provisions:

- Under Section 10, it is an offence to carry out an offence on board a foreign ship that is under the jurisdiction of Ireland; those who do so can be arrested, tried, and punished in an Irish court.
- Under Section 22, if an offence is carried out on an Irish ship outside the Irish territorial zone, it is deemed an offence as if it was carried out by the individual in the State.
- Under Section 3, subsection A, rules applicable to all ships, Article 27, Section 1 (d), an arrest can be made, or an investigation can be carried out, in order to disrupt illicit drug trafficking.

Garda Síochána (Functions and Operational Areas) Act 2022

The Garda Síochána (Functions and Operational Areas) Act 2022 was enacted on 4 May 2022. The Act facilitates the implementation of the new AGS operational model. It provides for legislative amendments that will allow structural changes to Garda districts. In addition, specified functions of members of the Garda Síochána under certain enactments may be performed by members of a different rank. A map showing the administration region boundaries of the new operational structure of AGS can be found in Section T2.2 in the *Drug markets and crime* workbook.

Defence Forces (Evidence) Act 2022

The Defence Forces (Evidence) Act 2022 was enacted on 6 July 2022. The Act provides powers to military police to test for drugs and alcohol by taking bodily samples from military persons suspected of certain crimes for the purpose of investigations within the Irish jurisdiction and outside the jurisdiction when Defence Forces personnel are deployed overseas. It also provides for the establishment of a DNA (Military Police) Database System to be administered by Forensic Science Ireland. The Act is similar to the Criminal Justice (Forensic Evidence and DNA Database System) Act 2014, which provides for the taking of DNA samples by AGS and for the establishment of a DNA database system with a view to assisting AGS in the investigation of crimes.

Criminal Justice (Spent Convictions and Certain Disclosures) Act 2016

The Criminal Justice (Spent Convictions and Certain Disclosures) Act 2016, which was enacted on 11 February 2016, commenced in full on 29 April 2016 (Fitzgerald 2016). Changes to this legislation in 2017 were not related to drug offences.

Under the Act, once specific conditions have been met, certain convictions held by an individual, subject to specified limitations, can be classified as spent after 7 years. At the time of the commission of the offence, the individual must be aged 18 years or over and be a natural person. The person should have served, undergone, or complied with the sentence or order enforced by the court, which should be of no more than 12 months' duration. In addition, the sentence should not be an excluded sentence. Only one conviction can be classified as spent. However, where an individual has received more than one sentence for two or more offences relating to the same event, this can be viewed as one conviction.

The main outcome of this Act is that, legally, individuals are under no obligation to disclose certain spent convictions after 7 years. Fitzgerald 2016 believed that this legislation was an important milestone in the rehabilitation of offenders in Ireland (Irish Legal News 2016]. Amendments to extend and overcome the limitations of this Act have been proposed. (See Section T3.4 of this

workbook for an update on the Criminal Justice (Rehabilitative Periods) Bill 2018, which aims to overcome limitations of this Act.)

Criminal Justice (Suspended Sentences of Imprisonment) Act 2017

Following on from the Criminal Justice (Suspended Sentences of Imprisonment) Bill 2016, the Criminal Justice (Suspended Sentences of Imprisonment) Act 2017 was enacted on 15 March 2017 and commenced on 8 January 2019. The aim of the Act is to amend Section 99 of the Criminal Justice Act 2006, which refers to the power to suspend sentences in the event of another offence being carried out by an individual who was already the subject of a suspended sentence. The need for this amendment was a result of a High Court judgment in April 2016, which purported that some of the provisions in this Section were unconstitutional.

Under the provisions of this Act, when a person carries out a triggering offence having already received a suspended sentence for a previous offence, following court proceedings and the imposition of a sentence for the triggering offence, the individual will be remanded to the court that imposed the order of the suspended sentence so that the matter of activation of the suspended sentence can be dealt with. If the conviction for the triggering offence is appealed, then the original suspended sentence will not be dealt with until the appeal is dealt with.

Criminal Justice Act 2017

The Criminal Justice Act 2017 was enacted in July 2017. The aim of the Act is to strengthen the law on bail by making amendments to existing legislation, including the Criminal Justice Act, 1984; the Criminal Justice (Public Order) Act, 1994; the Criminal Justice (Drug Trafficking) Act, 1996; the Bail Act, 1997; the Criminal Justice Act 2007; and the Criminal Justice Act 2011, and to provide for related matters. Sections 1, 3, 5, 8–10, 11, and 13 of the Act commenced in August 2017.

Criminal Justice (Corruption Offences) Act 2018

The main purpose of the Criminal Justice (Corruption Offences) Act 2018 was to provide a single Act to repeal and replace several Acts that dealt with corruption in Ireland (for example, the Public Bodies Corrupt Practices Act, 1889; the Prevention of Corruption Acts, 1906 and 1916; Section 38 of the Ethics in Public Office Act, 1995; the Proceeds of Crime (Amendment) Act 2005 (Part 5); and the Prevention of Corruption (Amendment) Acts, 2001 and 2010). In addition, it provides renewed provision for the main requirements of a number of international anti-corruption instruments already ratified by Ireland.

Criminal Justice (Money Laundering and Terrorist Financing) (Amendment) Act 2018

The Criminal Justice (Money Laundering and Terrorist Financing) (Amendment) Act 2018 was enacted on 14 November 2018, and a Commencement Order was signed by the then Minister for Justice and Equality for all Sections (except Section 32) to come into effect on 26 November 2018. The Act amended the Criminal Justice (Money Laundering and Terrorist Financing) Act 2010 to give effect to certain provisions of Directive (EU) 2015/849 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 20 May 2015 on the prevention of the use of the financial system for the purposes of money laundering or terrorist financing, amending Regulation (EU) No 648/2012 of the European Parliament and of the Council, and repealing Directive 2005/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council and Commission Directive 2006/70/EC, and to provide for related matters.

The Act extends and adds to obligations in existing legislation on financial institutions and other businesses relating to anti-money laundering, such as carrying out customer due diligence and reporting suspicious transactions. Significant provisions of the Act include those relating to the powers of gardaí appointed to the Financial Intelligence Unit, and the extension of provisions requiring enhanced due diligence to be applied to politically exposed persons. Further amendments were made to the 2010 Act in 2021.

Criminal Justice (Mutual Recognition of Probation Judgments and Decisions) Act 2019

The Criminal Justice (Mutual Recognition of Probation Judgments and Decisions) Act 2019 was enacted on 15 May 2019. The main purpose of the Act is to give effect to Council Framework Decision 2008/947/JHA of 27 November 2008 on the application of the principle of mutual recognition to judgments and probation decisions with a view to the supervision of probation measures and alternative sanctions. Hence, if an Irish person commits an offence while working or studying in another EU member state and is sentenced to a Probation Order, Community Service Order, or alternative measure under the supervision of the Probation Service in that member state, they can apply to return home and be supervised by the Irish Probation Service (Stanton 2019). Similarly, the Act will allow a resident of another EU member state who commits an offence in Ireland, for which they receive a sentence of probation, to return to their country of residence under the supervision of the Probation Service in that member state. The Act also allows for the transfer of serious offenders who are subject to post-release supervision.

Criminal Justice (Mutual Recognition of Decisions on Supervision Measures) Act 2020

The Criminal Justice (Mutual Recognition of Decisions on Supervision Measures) Act 2020 was enacted on 26 November 2020 and commenced in February 2021. The Act aimed to transpose Council Framework Decision 2009/829/JHA of 23 October 2009 on the application, between EU member states, of the principle of mutual recognition to decisions on supervision measures as an alternative to provisional detention. The main purpose of the Act is to provide an Irish resident who is charged with an offence while in another EU member state to have their bail conditions monitored in Ireland instead of being remanded in custody in that EU member state. It also provides for an individual from another EU member state to be granted bail on condition that they are being monitored in the State where they normally reside.

Criminal Justice (Money Laundering and Terrorist Financing) (Amendment) Act 2021

The Criminal Justice (Money Laundering and Terrorist Financing) (Amendment) Act 2021 was enacted on 18 March 2021. The aim of the Act is to amend the Criminal Justice (Money Laundering and Terrorist Financing) Act 2010 in order to transpose Directive (EU) 2018/843 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 30 May 2018 amending Directive (EU) 2015/849 on the prevention of the use of the financial system for the purposes of money laundering or terrorist financing, and amending Directives 2009/138/EC and 2013/36/EU into national law. It also provides an outline of enhanced customer due diligence procedures that require greater transparency around ownership, thus reducing the likelihood of anonymous financial transactions. It is believed that targeting money laundering is vital in the fight against organised crime. Various crimes, such as drug trafficking, human trafficking, and fraud, rely on hiding and converting the proceeds of crime (Browne 2020).

The then Minister of State at the Department of Justice with special responsibility for Law Reform and Youth Justice, James Browne, believed that by pursuing the proceeds of crime, those responsible can be brought to justice.

Criminal Justice (Theft and Fraud Offences) (Amendment) Act 2021

The Criminal Justice (Theft and Fraud Offences) (Amendment) Act 2021, which was initiated on 1 January 2020 to give effect to Directive (EU) 2017/1371 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 July 2017 on the fight against fraud to the EU's financial interests by means of criminal law, was enacted on 18 March 2021. This Act amended the Criminal Justice (Theft and Fraud Offences) Act, 2001 and the Criminal Justice Act, 1994 and provided for related matters.

Criminal Justice (Amendment) Act 2021

The Criminal Justice (Amendment) Act 2021 was enacted on 8 December 2021. The aim of the Act is to repeal second or subsequent mandatory sentences in the areas of firearms and misuse of drugs and older legislation. The Act is a consequence of a ruling by the Supreme Court on 15 May 2019 in *Wayne Ellis versus the Minister for Justice and Equality*, which found that subsection 27(A) of the Firearms Act, 1964 was unconstitutional. That subsection allows the Oireachtas to impose mandatory penalties but only if they apply to all persons. Constitutionally, this is not permitted, and the Supreme Court held that mandatory penalties should only be administered by the courts. This fault is also evident under Section 15A and subsections (3E) and (3F), and Section 27 of the Misuse of Drugs Act, 1977 (as amended).

Section 6 of the Criminal Justice (Amendment) Act 2021 provides for an amendment to Section 27 of the Misuse of Drugs Act, 1977 – possession or importation of drugs with a value over €13,000 – with the aim of repealing the mandatory minimum term of 10 years' imprisonment for second or subsequent offences. See Section T1.1 of this workbook for more information on the MDAs and an outline of existing penalties.

Section 9 of the Criminal Justice (Amendment) Act 2021 provides for the removal of a reference to the minimum mandatory provision in Section 24 of the Parole Act 2019, which states that a person serving a minimum term of imprisonment under the Misuse of Drugs Act, 1977 shall not be eligible for parole before expiry of the minimum term.

Criminal Justice (Engagement of Children in Criminal Activity) Act 2024

The Criminal Justice (Engagement of Children in Criminal Activity) Act 2024 was enacted on 11 March 2024. The purpose of the Act is to provide for offences relating to the engagement of a child in criminal activity. Section 2 of the Act provides for the engagement of children in criminal activity,

- Under Section 2(1) of the Act, an adult who “compels, coerces or directs ... or deceives the child” (p. 1) for the purpose of engaging them in criminal behaviour shall be guilty of an offence.
- Under Section 2(2) of the Act, an adult who “induces or invites, or aids, abets, counsels or procures the child” (p. 2) for the purpose of engaging them in criminal behaviour shall be guilty of an offence.

- Under Section 2(3) of the Act, an adult can be convicted of an offence regardless of whether the child has engaged in criminal activity, or intended to engage in criminal activity, or has been prosecuted or found guilty of the offence of criminal activity.
- Under Section 2(4), any person found guilty of this offence will be liable on:
 - summary conviction to a Class A fine or imprisonment for up to 12 months or less, or both, or
 - conviction on indictment to a fine or imprisonment for a term up to five years, or both.

Policing, Security and Community Safety Act 2024

The Policing, Security and Community Safety Act 2024 was enacted on 7 February 2024 and commenced in full on 17 May 2024. McEntee (2024) welcomed the Act and stated that it is viewed as an essential part of the Government’s policing reform plan – *A Policing Service for Our Future* (Government of Ireland 2019) – which was developed to implement recommendations from the *Future of Policing in Ireland* report (Commission on the Future of Policing in Ireland 2018). It fulfils commitments put forward in the *Programme for Government: Our Shared Future* (Fianna Fáil, Fine Gael, The Green Party 2020). The Act provides for the following:

- The strengthening of the governance, oversight, and accountability of AGS (for example, it provides for a Board of AGS and outlines how it will function; the independence of the Garda Commissioner along with their function and duties; and the recruitment of AGS and garda staff)
- The appointment of members of AGS and members of garda staff in order to improve the safety of, and the perception of safety in, communities through collaboration between relevant departments of State and public service bodies at national and local level, and for community engagement in the prevention of crime and harm and, for that purpose, the establishment of the National Community Safety Steering Group and its functions
- The establishment of the National Office for Community Safety and its functions in order to provide for local community safety partnerships
- The establishment of the Policing and Community Safety Authority and its functions
- The change of the Garda Síochána Ombudsman Commission, so that it shall be known as the Office of the Police Ombudsman, and the amendment and extension of its functions
- The appointment of the Police Ombudsman and Deputy Police Ombudsman
- The establishment of a body to be known as the office of Independent Examiner of Security Legislation and its functions
- The appointment of a person to be the Independent Examiner of Security Legislation, and
- The repeal of the Garda Síochána Act 2005, amendments to certain enactments, and other related matters.

T1.2. Implementation of the law

The purpose of this section is to summarise any available data on the implementation of legislation.

Provide any additional contextual information that is helpful to understand how legislation is implemented in your country.

T.1.2.1. Is data available on actual sentencing practice related to drug legislation?

Sentencing practice for the possession or importation of controlled drugs for the purpose of sale or supply was examined in 2014 by the Irish Sentencing Information System (Mackey 2013).

Four offences were covered in the study:

1. Possession of controlled drugs for unlawful sale or supply (MDA, 1977, Section 15, as amended)
2. Possession of controlled drugs, valued at €13,000 or more, for unlawful sale or supply (MDA, 1977, Section 15A, as amended)
3. Importation of controlled drugs for unlawful sale or supply (several provisions found in the Customs Acts which refers to all enactments pre and post Customs Act 1954; the MDAs 1977–1984, as amended; and the Misuse of Drugs Regulations, 1988), and
4. Importation of controlled drugs, valued at €13,000 or more, for unlawful sale or supply (MDA, 1977, Section 15B, as amended).

See Section T5.2 of this workbook for further information on this study.

T.1.2.2. Is data available on actual sentencing practice related to legislation designed to control NPS? Please provide a summary and a link to the original information or state if no information is available.

To date, data are not available on actual sentencing practice related to legislation designed to control NPS.

T1.2.3. Optional. If possible, discuss why implementation might differ from the text of laws (e.g. political instructions, resource levels, policy priorities).

The maximum penalty for an offence that is outlined in the legislation permits a court to deliberate on all the circumstances of a case and impose an apt penalty up to the maximum specified in the legislation (Fitzgerald 2017). The sentence imposed by the court must be proportionate not only to the crime, but also to the individual offender. Through this process, the court must identify where on the sentencing range a case should lie and then take into consideration any mitigating factors that may exist (Fitzgerald 2017).

Several reports have criticised Ireland's approach to sentencing. The Law Reform Commission (LRC) (2011) examined legislation concerning mandatory sentencing with the aim of determining whether legislative provisions for sentences were appropriate and beneficial. One area specific to the MDAs is the presumptive mandatory minimum sentence. It was highlighted that despite legislation being passed for specific purposes, there were disparities in how that legislation was implemented by the courts (Law Reform Commission 2011). In a subsequent report, the LRC recommended that the presumptive sentencing regime for drug offences be repealed (Law Reform Commission 2013b). A

similar conclusion was drawn by the Penal Policy Review Group, which recommended that no further mandatory sentences or presumptive minimum sentences be introduced, stating:

In addition, the continuation of existing presumptive minimum sentences and the threshold for their application in drugs and other offences should be reviewed ... with a view to determining if this type of sentencing satisfies the need for proportionality in sentencing and fulfils the objective of reducing crime. As an initial step to comply with the principle of proportionality, the Review Group recommended an increase in the value of drugs, currently €13,000, possession of which triggers the presumptive minimum sentence of 10 years to a level commensurate with that sentence. (Strategic Review Group on Penal Policy 2014) (p. 99)

In 2017, the LRC published Issues Paper on Suspended Sentences (Law Reform Commission 2017), which built on its 2013 publication, Report on Mandatory Sentences (Law Reform Commission 2013b). In August 2020, the LRC published a report on suspended sentences. This report, which formed part of the LRC's Fourth Programme of Law Reform (Law Reform Commission 2013a), builds on the LRC's previous report, Issues Paper on Suspended Sentences (Law Reform Commission 2017). It examines the legislation and the underlying principles behind the use of suspended sentences in Ireland. Centred on intensive research and widespread consultation with government and non-governmental agencies, practitioners, and experts, the report's authors concluded that a suspended sentence as a sanction is an appropriate flexible sentencing option that can be imposed in a court of law (Law Reform Commission 2020). Moreover, this approach is "compatible with sentencing aims of retribution, deterrence (general and specific) and rehabilitation" (Law Reform Commission 2020) (p. 62). See Section T3.3 of this workbook for further information on more work by the LRC.

Drug testing in prisons

Please see Section T1.2.1 of the Prison workbook for the most recent figures on drug testing in Irish prisons.

Please see Section T1.3.1 of the Prison workbook for information on drug-related prison health policy including the Irish Prison Service Drugs Strategy 2023-2026 (Irish Prison Service 2023).

T2. Trends

The purpose of this section is to provide a commentary on the context and possible explanations of trends in legislation and the implementation of the legislation within your country.

T2.1. Comment on any changes in penalties and definitions of core offences (offences of use, possession for personal use, supply (including production) of illicit drugs) in the legal framework since 2000.

If possible discuss the possible reasons for change (e.g. political philosophy, changes in the drug situation, public debate, policy evaluation).

There have been no changes to the definition of the core offences since 2000. In terms of identifying the beginning of a trend, the most significant changes in the criminal laws that apply to drug-related crime began following the summer 1996 assassination of Veronica Guerin, a high-profile journalist who had written a number of exposés about criminals linked to the illicit drug trade. This was a catalyst for the introduction of a range of legislative and policy initiatives in response to the drug problem at that time. Some examples of this legislation include the following:

- The Criminal Justice (Drug Trafficking) Act, 1996 allowed for the detention of suspected drug dealers for interrogation for up to 7 days and placed restrictions on the 'right to silence' (Keane 1997; Ryan 1997).
- The Criminal Assets Bureau Act, 1996 and the Proceeds of Crime Act, 1996 established the Criminal Assets Bureau (CAB) with the power to seize the illegally acquired assets of criminals involved in serious crimes, including drug dealing and distribution (McCutcheon and Walsh 1999). This legislation allows the State to remove the property of citizens – where it believes such property to be the proceeds of crime – by means of a civil process and without the requirement of a criminal conviction, thereby bypassing the traditional protections of the criminal law.
- The Bail Act, 1997, facilitated by the passage of a referendum, places restrictions on the right to bail and allows for preventive detention, something that was previously unconstitutional under Irish law (Kilcommins et al. 2004).
- The Criminal Justice Act, 1999 introduced mandatory minimum sentences of 10 years for drug dealing involving drugs with a street value of €13,000 or more.
- The Housing (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act, 1997 enabled local authorities to evict individuals for drug-related antisocial behaviour.
- The Non-Fatal Offences Against the Person Act, 1997 included provisions specifically addressing the use of HIV-infected syringes in robberies and aggravated burglaries. This piece of legislation, along with the Housing (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act, 1997, was introduced in response to pressure from local communities to address open drug dealing by some residents in local authority housing estates (Connolly 2006).

Since 2000, significant laws have been introduced, initially in response to organised crime, but later in response to head shops selling NPS. See also Pike (Pike 2008), Appendix II, for a list of legislation enacted between 2001 and 2007 that directly or indirectly impacts on drug policy.

More recently, other changes have occurred, including the following:

- The Proceeds of Crime (Amendment) Act 2016 was enacted on 27 July 2016. It amended the Proceeds of Crime Act, 1996 by providing for the administrative seizure and detention of property other than land by the CAB. Under the provisions of the 2016 Act:
- A CAB officer can seize property initially for 24 hours if they have reasonable grounds for suspecting that it is the proceeds of a crime. If the CAB is carrying out an investigation with a view to applying to the High Court for an interim order or interlocutory order, this can be extended to 21 days.
- The threshold value of property subject to the Act was reduced from €13,000 to €5,000.

T2.2. Comment on how the implementation of the law has changed since 2000.

Diversion is an important means of seeking to prevent crime, including drug-related crime, both before and after a crime has been committed. What follows is an outline of how the implementation of Irish law has changed since 2000.

Following a youth justice review, the Irish Youth Justice Service (IYJS) was established in 2005 within the then Department of Justice and Equality (DOJE) to oversee and support the operation of the youth justice system and provisions of the Children Act, 2001 (Irish Youth Justice Service 2018). The main objective was to develop a coordinated partnership approach among agencies working in the youth justice system, with the aim of improving service delivery and reducing youth offending. The IYJS's responsibilities were to:

- Develop a unified youth justice policy
- Devise and develop a national strategy to deliver this policy and service (more details on this strategy can be found under the heading 'Youth Justice Strategy 2021–2027' in this section)
- Link this strategy with other child-related strategies, where appropriate
- Manage and develop children detention facilities
- Manage the implementation of provisions of the Children Act, 2001 that relate to community sanctions, restorative justice conferencing, and diversion
- Coordinate service delivery at both national and local levels
- Establish and support consultation and liaison structures with key stakeholders, including at local level, to oversee the delivery of this service and response, and
- Develop and promote information sources for the youth justice sector to inform further strategies, policies, and programmes (Irish Youth Justice Service 2005).

The IYJS was later reorganised as a co-located office within the Department of Children and Youth Affairs (DCYA) and the DOJE, where the DCYA was responsible for the operation of detention facilities that are centralised at Oberstown Children Detention Campus (OCDC) and the DOJE supported the operation of the Garda Juvenile Diversion Programme and related programmes and initiatives (Irish Youth Justice Service 2018). In October 2020, administration and ministerial functions related to youth justice were transferred from the DCYA to the DOJE (see S.I. No. 435/2020 – Youth Justice (Transfer of Departmental Administration and Ministerial Functions) Order 2020). The DCYA is now known as the Department of Children, Disability and Equality.

Youth Justice Strategy 2021–2027

On 15 April 2021, then Minister for Justice, Helen McEntee, and then Minister of State at the Department of Justice with responsibility for Law Reform and Youth Justice, James Browne, launched the new *Youth Justice Strategy 2021–2027* (Department of Justice, Home Affairs and Migration 2021). The strategy is centred on a developmental framework that aims to target ongoing and

emerging challenges in youth justice (Department of Justice, Home Affairs and Migration 2021). A key strength of this strategy is that its development was informed by an expert steering group representing key stakeholders, such as the Department of Justice; Department of Health; Department of Children, Equality, Disability, Integration and Youth; the Probation Service; AGS; ODCD; Department of Education; Tusla – Child and Family Agency; University College Cork (UCC); University of Limerick; SOLAS; Children’s Rights Alliance; and Foróige. The steering group was assisted by experts, including Dr Louise Forde and Dr Katharina Swirak from the UCC Centre for Children’s Rights and Family Law, who provided content and guidance from a valuable evidence base (Department of Justice, Home Affairs and Migration 2021). The Department of Justice, Home Affairs and Migration has provided an update on the progress made in 2024 in addressing the objectives and key actions identified in their *Youth Justice Strategy, 2021-2027* (Department of Justice, Home Affairs and Migration 2025). A synthesis of this statement can be found in Section T4.1 of this workbook.

Progress on Youth Justice Strategy: Implementation statements

Each year, the Department of Justice, Home Affairs and Migration publishes implementation statements outlining the progress made in addressing the objectives and key actions identified in the *Youth Justice Strategy 2021–2027*. The most recent update is outlined in Section T4.1 of this workbook.

Garda Youth Diversion Programmes

Garda Youth Diversion Programmes have been in existence since 1963 and provide an opportunity to divert young offenders from criminal activity. Responsibility for the operation of the programmes lies with the Garda National Youth Diversion Bureau (An Garda Síochána 2025c). There are two programmes administered by specially trained gardaí, who are called Garda Juvenile Liaison Officers: the Garda Juvenile Diversion Programme and the Garda Youth Diversion Projects (GYDPs). They avail of restorative justice and restorative practices in order to try and target offending behaviour in young people aged under 18 years (An Garda Síochána n.d.). Under the Diversion Programme, when a child comes into contact with the justice system, the gardaí may, in certain circumstances, issue a caution and provide supervision instead of going to court. As part of the caution, it may also be a requirement that the child tries to address some of the upset that has been caused by their behaviour, for example by apologising to victims, following a curfew, or agreeing to take part in activities such as sport. They may also be referred to the GYDPs, which target young people aged 12–17 years who are engaged in criminal/antisocial behaviour that puts them at risk of remaining within the criminal justice system or at risk of continuing to engage in that behaviour (Irish Youth Justice Service 2019a).

GYDPs aim to bring about the conditions whereby the behavioural patterns of young people towards law and order can develop and mature through positive interventions and interaction with the project. The objectives of the GYDPs are to:

- Promote focused and effective interventions to challenge and divert young people away from offending behaviour
- Utilise GYDP resources in the areas of greatest need in order to establish effective crime prevention supports in cooperation with other youth service providers nationwide, and

- Actively promote crime prevention policy through focused educational interventions, influencing the positive development of young people towards becoming responsible citizens (Irish Youth Justice Service 2019a).

In order to obtain a place in these programmes, approval is sought from the GYDP referral committee, which assesses cases on an individual basis after taking the following areas into consideration:

- Completion of the Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory–Screening Version (YLS/CMI SV) assessment tool; those scoring above 3 are considered moderate to higher risk and are viewed as suitable for admission to the programme
- The ability and willingness of the young person to engage with the GYDP, and
- Whether participation in the GYDP is the most suitable support for the young person (Irish Youth Justice Service 2019b).

Once accepted into the GYDP, participants complete the Youth Level of Service/Case Management Inventory 2.0 (YLS/CMI 2.0). This is a more detailed assessment of the risks and needs of the young person and allows for a case management plan to be developed. This helps youth justice workers to identify and prioritise areas of risk/need that should be targeted in order to address the offending behaviour. Reassessments and case management reviews are undertaken every 6 months in order to monitor progress. Only trained personnel are allowed to use the YLS/CMI SV and YLS/CMI 2.0. Children aged between 10 and 11 years may also be considered at the discretion of the referral committee if there is a clear rationale for doing so; for example, when a child is considered to be at high risk.

There are currently 106 GYDPs in Ireland (An Garda Síochána 2025b). GYDPs are co-funded by the Irish Government and the European Social Fund (ESF) Operational Programme. In the new 2021–2027 European Social Fund Plus (ESF+) programme, €508 million has been allocated to Ireland (EU Funds Ireland 2022). The ESF+ programme is managed by the ESF Managing Authority in the Department of Further and Higher Education, Research, Innovation and Science (Egan 2021). Since 2021, additional funding has been provided by the Irish Government; €18 million (McEntee 2021a), €832,000 in 2022 (once-off funding), €2.5 million in 2023 (Department of Justice 2022), €2.9 million in 2024 (McEntee 2024). In October 2025, €7.3 million was allocated for Youth Diversion Programmes to help with rolling out a comprehensive network of programmes (Department of Justice Home Affairs and Migration 2025c).

Evaluation report on Youth Diversion Projects

Only one evaluation has been conducted on the Youth Diversion Projects. As stated in the 2023 national report, the *Evaluation of Youth Diversion Projects report* was launched on 13 June 2023 by the Minister of State at the Department of Justice, James Browne (Research Matters Ltd 2023; Department of Justice Home Affairs and Migration 2023a). This was the first evaluation report on Youth Diversion Projects (YDPs) and was carried out by Research Matters Ltd between December 2021 and November 2022. The central aim was to create policy-relevant information relating to the structure, conduct, and impact of YDPs. The findings were broken down into two categories: 1) structure, governance, and resource analysis, and 2) implementation of service analysis.

Structure, governance, and resource analysis

Good coherence was shown between the stakeholders in their understanding of the purpose of YDPs being centred on a belief that crime reduction can be achieved via increased life opportunities for young people. The support provided by national governance structures was viewed positively, while the governance of projects at a local level was deemed to work well in practice. At the local level, there was a feeling of being supported by national structures. This was evident through good partner cooperation and interaction, along with a commitment and willingness to engage. However, at project level, managers had reservations with regard to whether project committees should be involved in overall governance, risk, and financial management.

Budget analysis showed differences in how budgets were allocated, with three-quarters of the budgets being allocated to employee pay costs. This necessitates further exploration. In addition, there is no single pay scale in operation across projects. Managers, youth justice workers, and juvenile liaison officers were experienced and well educated; however, less than one-fifth of those employed in this area had achieved a qualification in youth justice. While youth justice workers reported high job satisfaction in relation to training opportunities, manager support, and the quality of service they provided, there were issues around promotion and professional supervision. The introduction of the MA degree under the Research Evidence into Policy Programmes and Practice (REPPP) project at the University of Limerick was welcomed.

Implementation of service analysis

The report authors presented a detailed analysis of the interventions implemented in GYDPs. Interventions that were applied targeted issues that arose in the GYDP plan. There was considerable diversity between the interventions. In more than 80% of GYDP plans, only one intervention in relation to education or employment was implemented. Only 16 GYDPs plans implemented interventions related to criminal behaviour. Due to the focus of the projects, this was viewed as a surprising outcome. However, it does lend support to another GYDP focus: namely, the building of better life chances for young people in order to reduce criminality (Research Matters 2023). On a positive note, the analysis of GYDP plans indicates that the planning and implementation of projects are aligned and shows that the nature and structure of projects target specific local needs.

Limitations

As acknowledged by the report authors, all approaches used to collect data have their own limitations. For example, although using online surveys is efficient and convenient, they do not capture the complexity of the contexts in which the GYDPs operate. There is also a potential for bias by those who complete such surveys. The response rate for surveys was also low; therefore, the results should be interpreted with caution. The qualitative interviews overcame the survey limitations; however, interview participants were only from the eight case study sites. Young people, family members, and project committee members were identified by the site manager or coordinator of each site. Only young people who engaged with the projects were interviewed; those who disengaged were not. How project effectiveness was measured was another limitation due to difficulties in accessing relevant crime data. While Youth Level of Service (YLS) data provided some measures of effectiveness, this was based on professional judgements on assessments recorded in the annual performance report file. However, the multiple methods used in the study mitigated some of these limitations to a certain degree.

Recommendations

Numerous recommendations (N=52) arose from the evaluation. These focused on:

- Improvements in governance (n=11)
- Resourcing of YDPs (n=5)
- Personnel (n=7)
- Implementation of YDPs (n=7)
- Outcomes and impacts (n=6), and
- Further research and data development (n=16).

Conclusion

The review authors were unable to determine whether the YDPs were effective in reducing crime; however, they are performing well in several locations, which does impact positively on crime reduction. Although recommendations for change have been made, project governance is considered good; cooperation is high; and experience, qualifications, and satisfaction of staff are high. There is evidence of best practice in implementation, while feedback from those participating is positive.

The evaluation report was welcomed by Minister Browne. He believed the report was an opportunity to appreciate the impact that YDPs have had and that the recommendations and insights provided will inform the future progress and direction of GYDPs. He thanked all those who participated in the evaluation and stated that the findings of the evaluation illustrate the “commitment and willingness among all participants to work towards a shared purpose, centred on the needs of vulnerable children and young people” (Department of Justice, Home Affairs and Migration 2023a) (p. 2).

Further detail on the literature review, methods, and research questions of this evaluation can be found in Section T4.1 of the *Ireland: national report for 2023 – Legal framework* (Health Research Board 2024).

Similar to the GYDPs, the Irish Probation Service has a Young Persons Probation (YPP) division of trained staff who work specifically with children and young people aged 12–18 years who come before the courts, or those who are in children detention schools or centres. This service has been in operation since 2006 (The Probation Service 2021).

The YPP service is underpinned by two key principles:

1. The detention of children and young people should only be used as a last resort, and
2. A belief that, in most cases, community sanctions are more effective and lasting (The Probation Service 2015).
3. YPP projects support and motivate young people to address the cause of their offending behaviour and to make positive changes in their lives so as to avoid further offending. Some of the areas of work include educational needs, self-care living skills, drug and alcohol misuse, and emotional and mental health. The most recent data available from the Probation Service

are for 2024. The Probation Service received 764 court referrals for young people, of which 683 pre-sanction reports were prepared for the Court (The Probation Service 2025). A further 51 pre-sanction report considered community service. A total of 690 young people were supervised by the Probation Service in 2024 (The Probation Service 2025). Currently, there are seven YPP projects funded by the Probation Service (The Probation Service 2025).

Drug Treatment Court

The Drug Treatment Court (DTC) programme targets people with drug addiction problems who come before the District Court on minor criminal charges linked to their drug addiction and who plead guilty or have been convicted of the charge(s) (Courts Service 2017). In order to obtain a place on the DTC programme, the person must have pleaded guilty to or been convicted of non-violent crimes in the District Court. They (or their solicitor) can then ask the judge to remand them to the DTC. They are assessed by the Probation Service to determine their suitability/motivation to participate. The person must be:

- Serious about addressing their drug habit
- Willing to undergo treatment
- Over the age of 17 years, and
- Residing in Dublin (preferably with a Dublin 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, or 8 postcode) (Courts Service 2017).

The judge then decides whether to send the person to the DTC. Once a person is accepted into the programme, their charge(s) is/are put on hold. The DTC is supported by an interagency multidisciplinary team consisting of a DTC liaison nurse, a probation officer, an education coordinator, a DTC coordinator, gardaí working at the DTC, and other people who can help participants. The members of the team have specific roles in relation to each phase of the programme, and the DTC coordinator is their main point of interaction.

At the induction stage, potential participants meet the members of the team. For all participants, accessing drug treatment is essential. A participant can opt to try to detoxify in the community or in hospital, go drug free, or take methadone maintenance or methadone reduction treatment. The participant will come to an agreement about their treatment with the team and will attend counselling and group work. As well as the drug treatment, the participant will be required to take part in educational and/or other programmes within the community to give them new skills or to improve skills they may already have. Participants must abstain from their main drug of choice upon admission. As they progress through the programme, further testing is carried out for other drugs (Courts Service 2017).

For each participant, a Personal Progression Credits Chart is drawn up, where they get ongoing feedback as to how they are getting on in the programme. The programme consists of three phases: bronze, silver, and gold. Decisions on the participant's success at each phase are made by the judge based on information provided by the team. Participants are required make sufficient progress to ensure that they move through each phase in less than 12 months, but this is often dependent on the motivation and needs of the individual (Courts Service 2017).

The focus of this intervention is on addressing the participant's substance misuse issues and thereby supporting the offender to desist from crime, reducing the likelihood of further offending. The

process is diversionary, providing a restorative justice alternative to a custodial sentence for persons with drug addiction who have pleaded guilty before, or have been convicted by, the District Court for minor, non-violent criminal charges connected to their addiction. In 2021, the DTC received eight referrals from the District Court (The Probation Service 2022). Doing well in the programme could, on the recommendation of the DTC judge, result in a suspended sentence (Courts Service 2017).

The most recent national drugs strategy in Ireland, *Reducing Harm, Supporting Recovery: A health-led response to drug and alcohol use in Ireland 2017-2025* (Department of Health 2017), identifies the future direction and objectives of the DTC as a strategic action (see Section 3.1.3.4, p. 58 of the strategy document) (Department of Health 2017). In 2018, discussions about the future direction and objectives of the DTC were initiated by the Department of Health, and discussions have been carried out with the Courts Service to progress the independent evaluation of the DTC. While these discussions were expected to continue in 2019 with the aim of appointing independent evaluators by Q4 2019 (Drugs Policy Unit Department of Health 2019), progress has been slow (Drugs Policy and Social Inclusion Unit 2021). However, the DTC evaluation and recommendations for future direction have been identified as strategic priorities in the *National Drugs Strategy: Strategic Action Plan 2023-2024*, where the DOJ were to take the lead to identify funding to complete the work via a tendering process (Department of Health 2023). In April 2025, the Minister for Justice, Home Affairs and Migration, Jim O’Callaghan advised that the service provider that has been identified to carry out the evaluation, is currently engaging with stakeholders, including the Courts Service and the Department of Health, so that the evaluation can proceed as soon as possible (O’Callaghan, 2025).

Community courts

The Strategic Review of Penal Policy group welcomed a proposal to pilot a community court in Ireland in 2014 and emphasised the need to ensure that such courts are adequately resourced. The support for community courts in Ireland has come from several areas, as follows:

- In a 2007 report making the case for community courts in Ireland, the National Crime Council recommended the establishment of such a court in Dublin’s inner city to deal with “quality of life offences committed in the Store Street and Pearse Street Garda Station catchment areas” (National Crime Council 2007) (p. 39).
- In early 2014, the Dublin City Business Association (DCBA) called for the establishment of a community court as a means of addressing low-level crimes such as vandalism, theft, antisocial behaviour, drug use, and drug dealing in the capital city. See Section 9.6.2 of the *2014 National Report (2013 data) to the EMCDDA by the Reitox National Focal Point* (Health Research Board 2014) for an account of a seminar on community courts organised by the DCBA).
- In July 2014, the Houses of the Oireachtas Joint Committee on Justice, Defence and Equality published its report on community courts, recommending that a pilot community court be established in central Dublin “under the supervision of a single judge, supported by an implementation group and with the support of local community groups and services” (Joint Committee on Justice Defence and Equality 2014) (p. 9).
- An interagency Working Group on Alternatives to Prosecution (WGAP) was established in 2017 by the Criminal Justice Strategic Committee to consider options for moving forward

with the establishment of a community court (personal communication, Department of Health, 2017). Drawing on recommendations put forward by the Houses of the Oireachtas Joint Committee on Justice, Defence and Equality, the WGAP also looked at ways of targeting low-level offenders and effectively addressing offending behaviour through a community justice intervention programme (Flanagan 2017). Deputy Charlie Flanagan, who was Minister for Justice and Equality at that time, was keen “to build on this work and is considering a number of possibilities including the creation of a statutory conditional cautioning system which is being considered in the context of a comprehensive inter-agency examination” (Flanagan 2017).

The Implementation Oversight Group was established in 2012 to oversee implementation of recommendations of the Penal Policy Review Group. Overall, eight progress reports have been published by the Implementation Oversight Group. The eighth and final report, submitted in 2019, was published by the Minister for Justice Simon Harris in May 2023 (Implementation Oversight Group to the Minister for Justice and Equality 2023). The report showed that the implementation progress made remains rated as E – no progress phase. The DOJE was responsible for Courts Policy. Areas that have been addressed include the following:

- A preliminary Courts Policy meeting was held in May 2015 with representatives of the DOJE and other Irish justice agencies to scope out implementation steps.
- A follow-up meeting with the DOJE and other justice agencies was held in October 2015.
- An outline Courts Policy strategy for assessment was sent to the then Minister for Justice and Equality (Implementation Oversight Group to the Minister for Justice and Equality 2019).

Areas still to be addressed include the following:

- The DOJE is to review changes made following consideration of recommendations and their impact on recommendations for a community court before making final decisions (Implementation Oversight Group to the Minister for Justice and Equality 2019).
- A Courts Policy meeting of relevant groups was arranged to consider alternatives under recommendations for the Adult Cautioning Scheme and the Garda Youth Diversion Programme, specifically conditional cautioning (Implementation Oversight Group to the Minister for Justice and Equality 2019).
- As the establishment of a community court is unlikely to progress, alternative options, including conditional cautioning, are under consideration (Implementation Oversight Group to the Minister for Justice and Equality 2019).

Adult Cautioning Scheme

The Garda Síochána Adult Cautioning Scheme was implemented in Ireland in 2006 and only applies to offences committed on or after 1 February 2006 and to persons aged 18 years and over (An Garda Síochána 2020). It is a non-statutory scheme that operates under the common law and discretionary powers of AGS (personal communication, Department of Health, 2017). The main premise behind the Scheme is that it provides an alternative to prosecuting the offender for a crime where it is not in the public interest to prosecute. Taking this route also has the capacity to be extended to cover a greater variety of offenders (Tolan and Seymour 2014). Gardaí consider three areas before administering a

caution: the public interest, the decision to caution, and the views of the victim. The Adult Cautioning Scheme is mainly applied to first-time offenders (personal communication, Department of Health, 2017). The acting district officer or acting inspector administers the caution, and the offender accepts the caution in writing. In contrast to the Garda Youth Diversion Programme, supervision is not arranged under the Adult Cautioning Scheme (Working group to consider alternative approaches to the possession of drugs for personal use 2019). Moreover, giving a caution is not conditional on the offender carrying out another task – for example, reimbursing the victim. It is rare for an offender to receive a second caution; approval from the Office of the Director of Public Prosecutions is required in order to do so.

The list of offences available for disposal under the Adult Cautioning Scheme did not originally include drug offences (Working group to consider alternative approaches to the possession of drugs for personal use 2019). In December 2020, following a collaboration between AGS and the DPP, four new offences were added to the Scheme, one of which was offences under Section 3 of the MDAs 1977 and 1984 (simple possession) in relation to cannabis and cannabis resin only (An Garda Síochána 2020). No other controlled drug offences are permitted under the Adult Cautioning Scheme.

Despite the level of work that has been done, concerns were raised by AGS. In October 2019, as a result of issues with the administration of the GYDPs, the Policing Authority commissioned an external evaluation of the Adult Cautioning Scheme, which was published in February 2021 (Crowe 2021). While the value of the Adult Cautioning Scheme was highlighted in relation to low-level offences, several challenges were identified: for example, weak governance within AGS; insufficient data and management information; considerable variance in how the Scheme was implemented; recording of data on PULSE (Police Using Leading Systems Effectively) being inadequate and not controlled for quality; and limited training being provided to those operating the Scheme (The Policing Authority 2021). AGS has responded to the evaluation and has identified remedial actions that can be taken to improve how the Scheme is administered (The Policing Authority 2021).

Bail Supervision Scheme

The Bail Supervision Scheme (BSS) provides another alternative option to detaining a young person who comes before the courts by offering the possibility of granting bail with intensive supervision. By reducing the need for remand places, this also provides an opportunity to focus on developing successful community resources designed to prevent young people from being detained in a youth justice facility and from continuing further down the path of criminality.

Central to the delivery of the BSS is the use of the Multisystemic Therapy (MST) model. First implemented by Extern in 2001, this evidence-based model of intervention is family based and seeks to change how young people function in their natural ecology (home, school, and the community) in ways that promote positive social behaviour, while at the same time reducing levels of antisocial behaviour. The delivery of MST is typically home based, and therapists have small caseloads of four to six families, which enables them to work intensively with each family, typically over a 3–5-month period. The therapists' working hours are flexible, ensuring that they are available when families need them and that families can access support 24 hours a day, 7 days a week through the 'on-call' system. The MST therapist consults with and coaches parents and carers on strategies that enable them to set and enforce appropriate rules and expectations, decrease the young person's

involvement with negative peers, and promote association with positive peers and involvement with prosocial activities (Extern 2019).

BSS staff liaise with agencies, including AGS, OCDC, and YPP, as well as through meetings with the judge and staff in the Dublin District Children Court in Smithfield (Court 55), and with those involved in both defence and prosecution legal teams. They also liaise with a wide range of agencies within the ecology of the young people in the Scheme, including educational establishments, youth groups, community groups, and local sports groups (Extern 2019).

In November 2016, the DCYA commissioned Extern to provide a pilot BSS. The effectiveness of the BSS was reviewed and evaluated by researchers from the REPPP project in the School of Law, University of Limerick. The evaluation found that offending behaviours were reduced, young people had greater compliance with their bail conditions, and custodial sanctions were reduced (Naughton et al 2019).

Further information on the BSS evaluation can be found in Section T5.2 of this workbook.

T3. New developments

The purpose of this section is to provide information on any notable or topical developments observed in legislation, the implementation of legislation, evaluation, and the political position on drug legislations **since your last report**.

T1 is used to establish the baseline of the topic in your country. Please focus on any new developments here.

If information on recent notable developments have been included as part of the baseline information for your country, please make reference to that section here. It is not necessary to repeat the information.

T3.1. What, if any, laws have changed in the last year?

Please use the following table to structure your answer, providing the title of the law, a hyperlink if available and a short summary of the change and explanatory comments.

Misuse of Drugs (Prescription and Control of Supply of Cannabis for Medical Use) (Amendment) Regulations 2025

S.I. No. 229/2025 - Misuse of Drugs (Prescription and Control of Supply of Cannabis for Medical Use) (Amendment) Regulations 2025 was signed into Irish law on 3 June 2025. The purpose of the Regulations was to update and replace the current Schedule 1 in the Principal Regulations - Misuse of Drugs (Prescription and Control of Supply of Cannabis for Medical Use) Regulations 2019 (as amended) in order to include additional cannabis products or preparations for medical use as “specified controlled drugs”. This amendment is to allow for the named products to be supplied or prescribed by medical consultants in some circumstances where people are being treated for specific medical conditions (see Table 3)

Table 3. List of specified controlled drugs to be substituted

| Name of cannabis product or preparation and brand name | Dosage form | Concentration of THC (percentage, weight/weight or weight/volume (w/v)) | Name of manufacturer |
|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Aurora High CBD Oil Drops | Oral solution | Less than 3% w/v (<30 mg/mL) This product also contains cannabidiol (CBD) 60% w/v (600 mg/mL) | Aurora Cannabis Enterprises Inc., 4439 Township Road 304, Cremona, Alberta, Canada, T0M 0R0 |
| CannEpil™ | Oral solution | 0.5% w/v (5 mg/mL) This product also contains cannabidiol (CBD) 10% w/v (100 mg/mL) | MGC Pharmaceuticals d.o.o., Kamniška ulica 29, 1000, Ljubljana, Slovenia |
| Tilray Oral Solution THC10: CBD10 (25ml) | Oral solution | 1% w/v (10 mg/mL) This product also contains cannabidiol (CBD) 1% w/v (10 mg/mL) | Tilray Portugal Unipessoal Lda., Zona Industrial de Cantanhede, Lote 121, Cantanhede, 3060-197, Portugal |
| Aurora Sedamen Softgels | Capsules | 5 mg/capsule This product also contains cannabidiol (CBD) less than 0.2 mg/capsule | Aurora Cannabis Enterprises Inc. 4250 14th Avenue, Markham, Ontario, Canada L3R 0J3 |
| Oleo Bedrobinol | Dried flower | 13.5% w/w (135 mg/g) This product also contains cannabidiol (CBD) less than 1.0% w/w (less than 10 mg/g) | Bureau voor Medicinale Cannabis Postbus 16114 2500 BC DEN HAAG The Netherlands |
| Oleo Bedrocan | Dried flower | 22% w/w (220 mg/g) This product also contains cannabidiol (CBD) less than 1.0% w/w (less than 10 mg/g) | Bureau voor Medicinale Cannabis Postbus 16114 2500 BC DEN HAAG The Netherlands |
| Althea CBD12:THC10 (50ml) | Oil | 1% w/v THC (10 mg/mL) This product also contains 1.25% w/v (12.5 mg/ml) cannabidiol (CBD) | Tasmanian Alkaloids Pty Ltd T/A Extractas Bioscience, Westbury, Tasmania, Australia 7303 |
| Oleo Genetics 10:10 | Oil (oral solution) | 1% w/v (10 mg/mL) This product also contains cannabidiol (CBD) 1% w/v (10 mg/mL) | ADREX Pharma GmbH Firmungstrabe 4 56068 Koblenz Germany |
| Oleo Genetics 25:1 | Oil (oral solution) | 2.5% w/v (25 mg/mL) This product also contains cannabidiol (CBD) <0.1% w/v (<1 mg/mL) | ADREX Pharma GmbH Firmungstrabe 4 56068 Koblenz Germany |
| Althea CBD12:THC10 (50ml) | Oil | 2% w/v THC (20 mg/mL) This product also contains <0.1% w/v (<0.1 mg/mL) cannabidiol (CBD) | Tasmanian Alkaloids Pty Ltd T/A Extractas Bioscience, Westbury, Tasmania, Australia 7303 |
| Dynabis 25THC:25CBD | Oil (oral solution) | 2.5% w/v THC (25 mg/mL) This product also contains cannabidiol (CBD) 2.5% w/v (25 mg/mL) | NYSK Holdings, Industriska Zona Kjojlja, Patrovec, Skopje MK,1000, North Macedonia |

Misuse of Drugs Act 1977 (Controlled Drugs) (Declaration) Order 2025

S.I. No. 404/2025 - Misuse of Drugs Act 1977 (Controlled Drugs) (Declaration) Order 2025 was signed into law on 15 August 2025. The declaration allowed for the specification of substances in the Schedule that were specified to be declared as a controlled drug for the purposes of the Misuse of Drugs Act 1977 (No. 12 of 1977).

Misuse of Drugs (Amendment) Regulations 2025

S.I. No. 405/2025 - Misuse of Drugs (Amendment) Regulations 2025 was signed into Irish law on 22 August 2025. The purpose of these Regulations is to amend the Misuse of Drugs Regulations 2017 by adding additional substances to Schedules 1 and 4 of those regulations.

Misuse of Drugs Act 1977 (Controlled Drugs) (Designation) (Amendment) Order 2025

S.I. No. 406/2025 - Misuse of Drugs Act 1977 (Controlled Drugs) (Designation) (Amendment) Order 2025 was signed into Irish law on 22 August 2025. The purpose of this amendment was to provide for the addition of new psychoactive substances to Schedule 1 of the Misuse of Drugs Act 1977 (Controlled Drugs) (Designation) Order (S.I. No. 2011 of 2022) making it unlawful for individuals to have these substances in their possession except for the purposes of research or other special purposes.

T3.2. How was the law implemented in the last year? What, if any, changes have occurred?

Please provide sentencing or other outcome data, or provide the link to any relevant reports or information.

Court outcomes for drug offences

The Courts Service publishes data on the outcomes of drug offences in its annual report (Court Services 2025). Data for 2024 can be found in Section T1.2.1 of the *Drug markets and crime* workbook.

Prison sentences for drug offences

On 30 November 2024, the number of persons in custody who were under sentence for controlled drug offences comprised 10.6% (422 out of 3,986) of the total prison population (Irish Prison Service 2025). The difference between the share of the total prison population in 2024 and 2023 (10.5%; 389 out of 3,701) was a 0.1 percentage point decrease (Irish Prison Service, 2024, 2025). Please see Section T1.2.1 of the *Prison* workbook for a breakdown of people serving sentences for drug-related offences, by sentence length, as of 30 November 2023.

Recidivism

The recidivism rate is the percentage of people who were convicted of a crime incident that was recorded within 3 years of the date of their Probation Order. Recidivism rates can serve as an indicator of whether penalties for offending act as a deterrent to future offending behaviour. The Central Statistics Office (CSO) provides recidivism data for Ireland from two sources: prisons and probation services. It is important to note that the figures for prison and probation recidivism rates were categorised as 'Under Reservation' until Quarter 1 2023 because they did not meet the standards required of official statistics published by the CSO. This designation has been lifted from all crime data published after Quarter 1 2023.

Prison reoffending statistics

The most recent reoffending data available from the CSO indicate that 44% of prisoners released from prison in 2022 reoffended within 1 year of release. Details of 3-year custodial reoffending

indicate that 57% of prisoners released in 2019 reoffended within 3 years (Central Statistics Office 2025). Overall drug reoffences accounted for 13.6% (312 out of 2,296) of reoffending behaviour. Of those who reoffended, 9.0% (206 out of 2,296 offenders) were initially imprisoned for a controlled drug offence. A small proportion of those initially imprisoned for a controlled drug offence were reconvicted for the same offence type (2.8%; 65 out of 2,296 offenders) (Central Statistics Office 2025).

Probation reoffending statistics

Figure T3.2.1 shows probation reoffending rates classified by time period to first reoffence for cohorts from 2008 to 2020 (Central statistics Office 2020; Central Statistics Office, 2021; Central Statistics Office, 2022; Central Statistics Office 2023; Central Statistics Office 2024).

Between 2008 and 2013, a decreasing trajectory was shown for probation recidivism rates within the first 12 months. However, between 2013 and 2016, 12-month recidivism rates increased annually. Since 2016, a slight decrease in 12-month recidivism rates was evident between 2016 and 2017 (by 2 percentage points), 2017 and 2018 (by 1 percentage point), and 2018 and 2019 (by 3 percentage points). However, a 2-percentage point increase was evident between 2019 and 2020.

Between 2008 and 2013, a similar decreasing trajectory is evident for recidivism rates within 24 months of an individual being placed under the management of the Probation Service. Since 2016, the reoffending rate decreased by 2 percentage points annually between 2016 and 2017 and between 2017 and 2018, with a larger percentage point (-6) decrease evident between 2018 and 2019).

Within 36 months of being placed under the management of the Probation Service, a decreasing trajectory in recidivism rates was seen between 2008 and 2013, from 55% to 45%. Recidivism rates have increased since 2013, particularly between 2013 and 2015, although there has been a slight decrease between 2015 and 2016 (by 1 percentage point) and again between 2016 and 2017 (by 1 percentage point). Between 2017 and 2018 the rate of reoffending within 36 months decreased by 2 percentage points. Data on 36-month probation reoffending rates are not yet available for 2019 and 2020.




Figure 1. Probation reoffending rates classified by time period to first reoffence, 2008–2020 cohorts

Note: The reoffending rates for 2014, 2015, and 2016 provided by the CSO differ from those in other tables in this publication because post-release supervision orders are excluded in order to facilitate comparison with earlier periods.

Source: Central Statistics Office, 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023, 2024.

Figure T3.2.2 shows the rate of reoffending behaviour within 1 year among adult and young offenders who received Community Service Orders, Probation Orders, and post-release supervision orders between 2015 and 2020.




Figure 2. Proportion of Community Service Orders, Probation Orders, and post-release

supervision orders received, 2015 and 2020

Source: Central Statistics Office, 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023, 2024.

Table 4 shows a breakdown of controlled drug offence referrals between 2012 and 2020. While the proportion of controlled drug offence referrals out of all probation referrals decreased between 2012 and 2015, a slight increase of 1 percentage point was evident between 2015 and 2016. A decrease of 1 percentage point was evident between 2016 and 2017, followed by a slight increase of 1 percentage point between 2017 and 2018. The proportion of controlled drug offences referrals out of all probation referrals increased from 13% in 2018 to 19% in 2019. Despite the number of referrals decreasing for drug offences during the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020, they accounted for 23% of the referrals made, which was an increase of four percentage points when compared with 2019. See Section T1.1.2 of this workbook for penalties for reoffending.

Table 4. Breakdown of controlled drug offence probation referrals, 2012–2020

| Year | Total number of probation referrals | Total number of controlled drug offences referrals | Percentage |
|------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|------------|
| 2012 | 2039 | 296 | 15% |
| 2013 | 2074 | 257 | 12% |
| 2014 | 1849 | 198 | 11% |
| 2015 | 1250 | 148 | 12% |
| 2016 | 1347 | 181 | 13% |
| 2017 | 1368 | 168 | 12% |
| 2018 | 1355 | 180 | 13% |
| 2019 | 1323 | 172 | 19% |
| 2020 | 881 | 139 | 23% |

Source: Central Statistics Office, 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023, 2024

T3.3. Has there been an evaluation of the law in the last year, or other indications as to its effects?

Please specify and provide links to the original report.

The Law Reform Commission (LRC) is responsible for reviewing and putting forward proposals for reform; for example, enacting legislation to clarify and modernise existing Irish legislation. The most recent report published by the LRC examined how the principles of suspended sentences are operated and applied in Ireland (Law Reform Commission 2020). Further information on this report can be found in Section T1.2.3 of this workbook.

In the LRC's Report on Fifth Programme of Law Reform (Law Reform Commission 2019), the Attorney General's Consultative Committee and the Oireachtas Joint Committee on Justice and Equality approved a project on structured sentencing. No update is available on this project.

Please see Sections T1.1.2, T1.2.1, T1.2.2, and T1.2.3 of this workbook for more information on sentencing in Ireland. The impact of legislation on the use of NPS was examined by Smyth et al. (2015) and Smyth et al. (2017) (see Section T5.2 of this workbook for further details on these studies).

T3.4. Optional. Summarise any major political discussions in the last year relating to legislation or its implementation that you feel is important in understanding the current legal framework within your country.

Offences Against the State (Amendment) Act, 1998 and Criminal Justice (Amendment) Act 2009

As has occurred in previous years, motions that Sections 2–4, 6–12, and 17 of the Offences Against the State (Amendment) Act, 1998 and Section 8 of the Criminal Justice (Amendment) Act 2009 should continue in operation from 30 June 2025 to 29 June 2026 were brought before the Seanad (Brophy 2025) on 24 June 2025 and Dáil Éireann (O'Callaghan 2025) on 25 June 2025.

Prior to resolution, two reports were presented to the Houses of the Oireachtas on 20 June 2024 showing usage figures for the previous year (1 June 2024 to 31 May 2025) (O'Callaghan 2025).

Under the provisions of Section 30 of the Offences Against the State Act, 1939, 69 people were arrested during this reporting period; of these, 19 people were detained for offences contrary to the provisions of the Offences Against the State (Amendment) Act, 1998, and 50 people were detained/arrested for offences contrary to the provisions of the Offences Against the State Act, 1939. One conviction was secured, and a further 13 persons are awaiting trial (Department of Justice, Home Affairs and Migration 2025a). What follows is a breakdown of the number of times sections of the 1998 Act was used:

- Section 2 – Membership of an unlawful organisation (inferences that may be drawn): utilised on 7 occasions
- Section 7 – Possession of Articles for Purposes Connected with Certain Offences: utilised on 6 occasions
- Section 9 – Withholding Information: utilised on 4 occasions
- Section 11 – Re-arrest under Section 30 of the 1939 Act: utilised on 3 occasions, and

- Section 14 – Offences under the Act to be Scheduled Offences: utilised on 10 arrests.

Arrests made that were relevant to the operation of Section 8 of the Criminal Justice (Amendment) Act 2009 pursuant to Section 8(6) of that Act were broken down into offences under Part 7 of the Criminal Justice Act 2006, in which Section 8 refers to:

- Section 71a – directing the activities of a criminal organisation: 13 arrests
- Section 72 – participating in or contributing to certain activities of a criminal organisation: 114 arrests
- Section 73 – committing an offence for a criminal organisation: 25 arrests, and
- Section 76 – not used.

Since the enactment of the Criminal Justice (Amendment) Act 2009, 1,016 arrests have been made under the provisions of Section 8. There were 49 charges in total in relation to the 4 relevant provisions in respect of Section 8, of which 11 charges were brought before the Special Criminal Court (Department of Justice, Home Affairs and Migration 2025b):

- Thirteen charges were related to the alleged breach of the provisions of Section 71A.
- Thirty-one charges were related to the alleged breach of the provisions of Section 72.
- Five charges were related to the alleged breach of the provisions of Section 73.
- No charges were made pursuant to Section 76 (Department of Justice Home Affairs and Migration 2025b).

The division regarding the Offences Against the State (Amendment) Act, 1998 and Section 8 of the Criminal Justice (Amendment) Act 2009 took place in the Dáil on 25 June 2025. Both questions were declared carried and agreed to (Ó Fearghail 2025). The question for both motions was put forward and agreed in the Seanad on 24 June 2024 (Kyne 2025).

In June 2023, Minister McEntee, then Minister for Justice published two reports by the Independent Review Group, which provided a review of all aspects of the Offences Against the State (Amendment) Act, 1998 under the chairmanship of Mr Justice Michael Peart (Independent Review Group 2023a; Independent Review Group 2023b). In response to the recommendations of this review, Minister O’Callaghan has advised the Government that in principle the recommendations in the majority report to repeal the Offences Against the State Acts and replace them with modern, bespoke legislation have been accepted (O’Callaghan 2025). However, it will not be possible to introduce legislation to do so by June 2026; Minister O’Callaghan has stated that “proposals for reform must be thoroughly considered and approached with utmost care” (O’Callaghan, 2025, p. 677). Further information on Offences Against the State (Amendment) Act, 1998 and Section 8 of the Criminal Justice (Amendment) Act 2009 can be found in Section T1.1.4 of this workbook.

Proceeds of Crime and Related Matters Bill 2025

The Proceeds of Crime and Related Matters Bill 2025 was initiated on 9 July 2025. The aim of the Bill is to modernise and strengthen the State’s ability to deny criminals assets that are obtained illicitly. The Bill provides for:

- The continued detention of property for the purpose of CAB investigations
- Payment freezing directions by a bureau officer

- Payment freezing order by the District Court in terms of funds in accounts in specific circumstances
- The reduction in the time between the order that properties are proceeds of crime and its final disposal order for that property from seven years to two years
- The appointment of a receiver to deprive a person of benefiting from and using the property.

The Bill is currently before Dáil Éireann, Third Stage.

Criminal Justice (Promotion of Restorative Justice) (Amendment) Bill 2023

The Criminal Justice (Promotion of Restorative Justice) (Amendment) Bill 2023 was initiated on 13 December 2023. The aim of the Bill was to amend the Criminal Justice (Victims of Crime) Act 2017 by providing encouragement to the courts to refer cases to the restorative justice schemes between charge and conviction, and to dismiss charges if appropriate; to encourage courts to refer cases to restorative justice schemes between conviction and sentencing; to permit and encourage AGS and the Director of Public Prosecutions to refer cases to restorative justice schemes between charge and court, and to review the decision to prosecute if appropriate; and to make related provisions. This Bill has now moved to be read a second time (Daly, 2025).

Misuse of Drugs (Cannabis Regulation) Bill 2022

The Misuse of Drugs (Cannabis Regulation) Bill 2022 was initiated on 24 November 2022. The aim of the Bill is to provide amendments to the Misuse of Drugs Act, 1977 to allow a person who is aged at least 18 years to be in possession of cannabis and/or cannabis resin for personal use (Section 2). The onus of proving that the cannabis and/or cannabis resin is for personal use will be on the defendant (Section 3). The Bill is currently before Dáil Éireann, Third Stage. Further information on the Cannabis debate in Ireland can be found in Section T3.1 of the *Drug Policy* workbook.

Proceeds of Crime (Gross Human Rights Abuses) Bill 2020

The Proceeds of Crime (Gross Human Rights Abuses) Bill 2020 was initiated on 1 December 2020. This is a Private Members' Bill. Under the provisions of this Bill, certain conduct that is carried on outside of Ireland is considered criminal conduct for the purposes of the Proceeds of Crime Acts 1996–2016. Currently, under the Proceeds of Crime Acts 1996–2016, assets can be seized from corrupt foreign officials who have assets in Ireland. However, in order to apply the 1996 Act, a dual criminality test would need to be satisfied, such that the conduct that resulted in seizing those assets must be classified as an offence under Irish law and under the law of the foreign state (Howlin 2020). This may be difficult to establish (e.g. in the case of a senior official of a foreign state where the rule of law does not prevail). The aim of this Bill is to make the Proceeds of Crime Acts 1996–2016 more easily applicable. The Bill has completed the First Stage, while the Second Stage was taken in Private Members' time. Since March 2022, the Bill is at Third Stage and has been referred to the Select Committee.

Criminal Justice (Rehabilitative Periods) Bill 2018

The Criminal Justice (Rehabilitative Periods) Bill 2018 is a Private Members' Bill that was initiated in December 2018. The Bill was initially proposed by Senator Lynn Ruane, who stated that the Criminal Justice (Spent Convictions and Certain Disclosures) Act 2016 (see Section T1.1.4 of this workbook) is limited both in practice and when compared with other EU member states, and is not working, as it is not fair or proportionate (Ruane 2019). She believes that people have the capacity to change and

that they deserve a second chance (Ruane 2019). The main purpose of the proposed Bill is to amend the Criminal Justice (Spent Convictions and Certain Disclosures) Act 2016 to provide for broader and fairer access to spent convictions, to provide for proportionality in determining the period before a conviction becomes spent, and to provide for related matters. Amendments were made to the text of the Bill at Committee Stage. This Bill has completed the Report and Final stages before Seanad Éireann. In order to ensure that it is robust and workable, some additional amendments are expected when it comes before Dáil Éireann (Naughton 2021). The Bill is still at Second Stage before Dáil Éireann, where the general principles are being debated.

T4. Additional information

The purpose of this section is to provide additional information important to understanding drug legislation in your country that has not been provided elsewhere.

T4.1. Optional. Please describe any additional important sources of information, specific studies or data on the legal framework. Where possible, please provide references and/or links.

Progress on Youth Justice Strategy – Implementation statement, 2024

In June 2025 the Department of Justice, Home Affairs and Migration provided an update on the progress made in addressing the objectives and key actions identified in the Youth Justice Strategy 2021–2027 (YJS) for 2024 (Department of Justice, Home Affairs and Migration 2025d). The YJS is centred on a developmental framework that aims to target ongoing and emerging challenges in youth justice in Ireland (Department of Justice, Home Affairs and Migration 2021). A key strength of this strategy is that its development was informed by an expert steering group representing key stakeholders across Ireland. The implementation statement focuses on several strategic objectives identified in Appendix 3 of the strategy and builds on previous updates for 2021, 2022 and 2023 (Department of Justice, Home Affairs and Migration 2025d).

Governance, oversight and consultation

The dedicated governance, oversight, and consultation structures developed to steer and support the implementation (Strategic Objective 1.1) were maintained in 2024. For example, meetings with the Governance and Strategy Group (n=3), Oversight Group (n=4), Advisory Group (n=2) were chaired by DOJHAM. Several topics were discussed, such as:

- Provision of enhanced capacity building and training opportunities to the Youth Diversion Project (YDP) network to target the specific needs of young people
- Implementation of the joint commissioning ‘No Wrong Door’ approach in collaboration with Youth Justice-related stakeholders
- Application of Empowering Children in Care’s (EPIC’s) six recommendations
- Efforts to empirically prove the role of YDPs in reducing recidivism in youth

- Changes to the reporting processes used by the Garda Youth Diversion Programme Monitoring Committee.

Cross-border cooperation

To enhance cross-border cooperation, the North South Youth Justice Advisory Group met twice in 2024. Topics discussed included the following:

- Amendments to relevant legislation, such as Northern Ireland’s Justice Bill 2024 and Ireland’s Children (Amendment) Bill 2024
- Exchange visits between Woodlands Juvenile Justice Centre and Oberstown Children Detention Campus
- Recent Criminal Justice Systems publications in Northern Ireland and Ireland
- Shared approaches to Restorative Justice and trauma-informed practice
- Extensions of Police Service of Northern Ireland’s Community resolution notices

Expansions of YDPs

Efforts were made to establish two projects, one in North Tipperary and one in East Clare. Consultations were held with stakeholders in both areas to determine the community needs and how to design the project (Action 2.9.2)

Research Evidence into Policy Programmes and Practice (REPPP)

In 2024, a Programme of Work along with a budget and grant was agreed and signed. Updates were provided throughout 2024 to the Youth Justice Oversight Group, Youth Justice Governance and Strategy Group, Youth Justice Advisory Group and REPPP Advisory Group.

Greentown Programme

The Greentown Programme targets the influence of criminal networks on children who offend or are involved in criminal networks via four interrelated pillars: Network Disruption, Community Efficacy, Intensive Family Support, and Pro-Social Opportunities (Department of Justice, Home Affairs and Migration 2025d). A range of activities and outputs was evident for the programme in 2024, such as:

- Implementation of the Greentown Programme in Whitetown and Yellowtown continued in 2024.
- The Department of Justice, Home Affairs and Migration committed to funding the Greentown Programme until the end of 2026.
- An ethics application was submitted to AGS to allow for the crime network maps update.
- Social network analysis was undertaken to assess, map, and confirm network connections on Yellowtown data.

- A Tender Proposal to the European Union Drugs Agency (EUDA) for REPPP to work with other European States to establish a new multidisciplinary community of practice was developed.

Youth Diversion Projects Research and Development Team

The purpose of the Research and Development (R&D) team is to improve outcomes for children, young people and families connected to YDPs by drawing on local and international evidence. The R&D Team works alongside YDPs to generate new research.

- Following the 2023 amalgamation of the YDP Best Practice Team and the REPPP Action Research Team into the new REPPP R&D Team, an integrated work programme was developed.
- Workshops were held with YDP staff to collaboratively design a new visual format for the Relationship Model guidance and related resources.
- Strategies to support implementation of the Relationship Model across the YDP network were developed
- Extensive training and capacity building programme was delivered to the YDP network in 2025.
- Calls for Expression of Interests for new YLS/CMI trainers and Restorative Practice Trainers were issued. Four new trainers for each call were to commence in 2025.

Improving data useability in the youth justice system

As part of the YJS Strategy 2021–2027, the aim is to maximise the use of data and research to inform youth justice policy. Several activities and outputs were evident by REPPP in 2024, such as:

- YDP annual performance report datasets (2016–2023) and YDP annual plan reports (2021–2023) were anonymised and transferred from the Department of Justice, Home Affairs and Migration to REPPP to be cleaned and analysed.
- A data study handbook has been produced and code for statistical analysis has been developed. Three data study reports are currently in development.

Implementation studies

Implementation policy and practice are being explored by REPPP in several projects, for example:

- Implementation of the Relationship Model Guidance
- Implementation of the Youth Justice Strategy 2021–2027 titled *How can a Published Strategy Better Contribute to the Implementation of Youth Justice Policy Intentions? A Case Study of the Irish Youth Justice Strategy 2021–2027*
- The No Wrong Door Project explores the needs of young people at risk of being in contact with the criminal justice system.

Local Leadership Programme

REPPP's Local Leadership Programme brings together professionals working in public service organisations who can contribute leadership and influence to tackling 'wicked' or complex problems.

Stable Lives Safer Streets

Funded under the North South Research Programme, collaborations between the Higher Education Authority, REPPP researchers, Queen's University, and the Centre for Effective Services aim to deliver a range of work packages such as to consolidate youth justice research; respond to policy priorities, activities and outputs; and translate and implement evidence-informed policy into practice. In 2024, these included a published study on child criminal exploitation (Sheehan, Walsh and Cusack, 2024). Other studies are ongoing, and include the following:

- Transitioning from care, Supports Systems and Contact with the Justice System
- Developing a pragmatic self-report measurement framework
- An exploration of the feasibility of using virtual reality to objectively measure psychosocial factors associated with youth offending
- A study of how a published strategy can better contribute to the implementation of youth justice policy intentions – a case study of the Irish Youth Justice Strategy 2021–2027; and
- No Wrong Door: exploring service delivery and complexity through the voices of young people, parents, and frontline practitioners.

Garda Youth Diversion Programme

A range of initiatives were carried out by the Garda National Youth Diversion Bureau (GNYDB) in 2024, such as:

Greentown Programme

GNYDB is responsible for coordinating AGS's participation in the Greentown Programme. In 2024, more work was completed on designing and implementing the Network Disruption pillar of the Greentown Programme by requesting additional research support from the Garda Research Unit. The AGS analysis service has started to produce network maps for each trial site for internal use which will help inform delivery of the Network Disruption pillar. In addition, members of AGS took part in a field visit to the Ministry of Justice in the Netherlands in 2024, in order to increase their understanding of the Dutch programme 'Prevention with Authority' (p. 30).

Youth Joint Agency Response to Crime (Y-JARC)

Y-JARC is a multi-agency approach to managing and targeting offending in youth. The GNYDB coordinates AGS's involvement in Y-JARC. In 2024, the Y-JARC Diamond Project was established in the Dublin Metropolitan Region North Central Division. Further development of Y-JARC is expected to continue in 2025.

Bail Supervision Scheme

The GNYDB provides oversight of the Bail Supervision Scheme. In 2024, a review was conducted of the processes and procedures. This work is ongoing.

Young Persons Probation Support

In 2024, the Probation Service via the Young Persons Probation (YPP) service in Ireland collaborated with Northern Ireland's Youth Justice Agency via quarterly cross-border meetings. The aim was to share experiences and practice in order to increase understanding across jurisdictions. Joint training initiatives were explored and are to start in 2025.

Overall, in 2024, 764 children and young people were referred to YPP; 683 pre-sanction reports were prepared for the courts; and 690 Supervision Orders were given through the courts. Other reports were also requested, including pre-sanction reports (n=51) and via the Central Criminal Courts (n=8).

The YPP has four dedicated YPP teams, located in areas with the highest needs (Dublin North, Dublin South, Limerick/Clare and Cork). In addition, a probation officer operates two days per week in Oberstown Children Detention Campus, providing assessments to the courts, as well as group work intervention for young people involved in sexual crime.

The Probation Service works alongside Oberstown Children Detention Campus to manage and care for children and youth on remand. In 2024, in collaboration with Tusla's National Inter-Agency Prevention Programme (NIAPP) and Assessment Consultation Therapy Service, a group work response was rolled out for young people detained for sexual offences to ensure that they have access to specialist treatment and rehabilitation. Five Probation Officers have been seconded one day a week to the NIAPP. They co-facilitate the treatment programme in Cork, South Dublin, North Dublin, Meath, Limerick, Oberstown and Kerry (part-time). In addition, in-reach services were provided by a YPP Probation Officer on the Oberstown Campus to facilitate offence-focused programmes and work with children one to one. In light of increased court requests for restorative justice practices, the Probation Service provided bespoke training to all YPP personnel.

Oberstown Children Detention Campus

In 2024, the Department of Children, Disability and Equality (DCDE) worked alongside the Oberstown Children Detention Campus in order to inform medium- and longer-term planning, budgeting and service development research-based assessment of likely demands for detention places and services. A multi-stakeholder roundtable on youth detention was held in December 2024. Key youth justice stakeholders discussed how to apply the principle of last resort in the detention of children. Work to embed the Children's Rights Policy Framework into all aspects of life on the Oberstown campus continued. The focus was on the provision of training opportunities during detention and post-detention. As part of the 'Preparation for Leaving' model of care, links were developed with employers. The main highlight of this work was a careers fair held in November 2024; this was attended by employers and young people.

Conclusion

This is the fourth implementation statement on the progress being made to achieve objectives under the Youth Justice Strategy 2021–2027 (Department of Justice, Home Affairs and Migration 2021). As evidenced by the fourth implementation statement, while a lot of work has already been completed,

further work is ongoing. The strategy is centred on a developmental framework; this, along with developing and implementing suitable structures and systems, facilitates flexibility to respond to new issues as they arise. Thus, the strategy is a living document (Department of Justice, Home Affairs and Migration 2021). Further progress reports are expected annually.

T4.2. Optional. Please describe any other important aspect of the legal framework that has not been covered in the questions above.

This may be additional information or new areas of specific importance for your country (e.g. money laundering, tobacco, alcohol legislation, new/changing organisations/structures, regulations related medical or industrial cannabis, and regulatory framework of opioid substitution treatment).

Development of new national drugs strategy

The Department of Health in Ireland is currently in the process of developing a new national drugs strategy. Further information on this process can be found in Section T3.1 of the *Drug policy* workbook.

Joint Committee on Drugs Use Interim Report

The Joint Committee on Drugs use was established by the Government to provide a response to the *Report of the Citizens' Assembly on Drugs Use*. Further detail on the work of this committee can be found in the *Drug policy* workbook.

T5. Sources and methodology

The purpose of this section is to collect sources and bibliography for the information provided above, including brief descriptions of studies and their methodology where appropriate.

T5.1. Please list notable sources for the information provided above.

| | |
|---------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| An Garda Síochána | http://www.garda.ie/ |
| Courts Service | http://www.courts.ie/ |
| Defence Forces | https://www.military.ie/en/#1 |
| Department of Health | https://health.gov.ie/ |
| Department of Justice, Home Affairs and Migration | http://www.justice.ie/ |
| Extern | https://www.extern.org/ |
| Forensic Science Ireland | https://forensicscience.ie/ |
| Garda Ombudsman | https://www.gardaombudsman.ie/ |
| Health Products Regulatory Authority | http://www.hpra.ie/ |
| Health Research Board National Drugs Library | http://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/ |
| Houses of the Oireachtas | https://www.oireachtas.ie/ |
| Irish Prison Service | https://www.irishprisons.ie/ |
| Irish Statute Book | http://www.irishstatutebook.ie/ |
| Law Reform Commission | http://www.lawreform.ie/ |
| Revenue | www.revenue.ie |
| The Policing Authority | http://www.policingauthority.ie/ |

T5.2. Where studies or surveys have been used please list them and where appropriate describe the methodology?

New studies

There is one new report included in this workbook (see Section T4.1).

Cited previously

Smyth BP, Lyons S and Cullen W. Decline in new psychoactive substance use disorders following legislation targeting headshops: Evidence from national addiction treatment data. *Drug Alcohol Rev*, 2017; 36(5), 609–617. Available from: <http://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/27172/>

Abstract

NPS have hedonic effects that may lead to dependence. Head shops selling NPS increased in number in Ireland from late 2009, and legislation was enacted in May and August of 2010 that caused their closure. It was unknown whether such events impacted on the rate of NPS use disorders. A population-based study was carried out using the Irish national database of episodes of addiction treatment between 2009 and 2012. Trends in the rate of NPS-related treatment episodes among young adults were examined.

Of the 31,284 episodes of addiction treatment commenced by adults aged 18–34 years, 756 (2.4%) were NPS related. In 2012, the 12-month moving average rate had fallen 48% from its peak in 2010, from 9.0/100,000 to 4.7/100,000. Joinpoint analysis indicated that the rate of NPS-related episodes increased by 218% (95% confidence interval (CI): 86 to 445; $p=0.001$) every 4 months until the first third of 2010. From that point, the rate declined by 9.8% (95% CI: –14.1 to –5.4; $p=0.001$) per 4-month period. There was no significant trend change in the rate of non-NPS-related treatment episodes.

Over the 2 years after the enactment of prohibition-styled legislation targeting NPS and head shops, the rate of NPS-related addiction treatment episodes among young adults declined progressively and substantially. No coinciding trend change in the rate of episodes linked to other drug groups was found.

Smyth BP, James P, Cullen W and Darker CD. “So prohibition can work?” Changes in use of novel psychoactive substances among adolescents attending a drug and alcohol treatment service following a legislative ban. *Int J Drug Policy*, 2015; 26(9), 887–889. Available from: <http://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/24190/>

Abstract

Background: Legislative changes targeting NPS were introduced in Ireland over the summer of 2010 and resulted in the closure of 90% of head shops. The authors sought to examine the use of NPS among adolescents attending addiction treatment both before and after this legislation was enacted.

Methods: The authors included all adolescents entering assessment at one outpatient service, comparing the 6 months immediately prior to the legislation being enacted in May 2010 with the same 6-month period the following year. Clinicians identified problematic use of between one and

four substances for each patient. Information was also recorded on recent (within the past 3 months) use of NPS.

Results: There were 94 treatment episodes included, with a mean age of 16.8 years. Problematic use of any NPS fell from 14 patients (34%) in the pre-legislation period to 0 ($p < 0.001$) in the post-legislation period. There was also a significant decline in recent use of any NPS (82% versus 28%; $p < 0.001$). Recent use of cocaine and amphetamines also declined, but problematic use of these drugs was unchanged.

Conclusion: Use of NPS among adolescents attending drug and alcohol treatment was substantially reduced 6–12 months after the introduction of legislation prohibiting the sale of NPS and the resultant closure of most head shops.

Mackey K. *Analysis of sentencing for possession or importation of drugs for sale or supply*. Dublin: Irish Sentencing Information System; 2013. Available from: <http://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/21866/>

This study examined the sentencing practices of the courts in relation to the offences of possession or importation of controlled drugs for the purpose of sale or supply. Four offences were examined in the study:

1. Possession of controlled drugs for unlawful sale or supply (Section 15 of the Misuse of Drugs Act, 1977, as amended)
2. Possession of controlled drugs (valued at €13,000 or more) for unlawful sale or supply (Section 15A of the Misuse of Drugs Act, 1977, as amended)
3. Importation of controlled drugs for unlawful sale or supply (several provisions found in the Customs Acts; Misuse of Drugs Acts 1977–1984, as amended; and Misuse of Drugs Regulations, 1988), and
4. Importation of controlled drugs (valued at €13,000 or more) for unlawful sale or supply (Section 15B of the Misuse of Drugs Act, 1977, as amended).

Convictions under Sections 15A or 15B attract a “basic presumptive sentence” of 10 years or more (Mackey 2013 p. 23). A sentencing court may, however, impose a lower sentence where there are mitigating factors that amount to “exceptional and specific circumstances” (Mackey 2013, p. 10), which would render the imposition of a sentence of 10 years or more “unjust in all the circumstances” (Mackey 2013, p. 38). Mackey’s study was presented in three parts:

- Part I analysed the legislative basis for these drug trafficking offences and the reserved judgments of the superior courts.
- Part II examined the application of sentencing principles in relation to the “basic presumptive sentence” (Mackey 2013, p. 23) provided for in Sections 15A and 15B of the Misuse of Drugs Act, 1977, as amended.
- Part III examined 79 judicial decisions involving 81 offenders before the Court of Criminal Appeal from 2009 to 2012. Twenty of these judgments related to ordinary offences and 59 to offences carrying the presumptive sentence.

The analysis of cases provided in this study led to the conclusion that there were four primary factors that featured most prominently in the construction of sentences for drug trafficking offences:

1. The quantity or value of the controlled drug or drugs
2. The type of the controlled drug or drugs
3. The role of the offender, and
4. The condition of the offender.

Tolan G and Seymour M. Increasing the potential for diversion in the Irish Criminal Justice System: the role of the Garda Síochána Adult Cautioning Scheme. *Ir J Appl Soc Stud*, 2014; 14(1), 60–71. Available from: <http://arrow.dit.ie/ijass/vol14/iss1/7>

Abstract

Established in 2006, the Garda Síochána Adult Cautioning Scheme provides a mechanism to divert adult offenders aged 18 years and over from the criminal justice system by way of a formal police caution in lieu of prosecution before the courts. Drawing on statistical data provided by the CSO, this paper explores the use of the Scheme over a 5-year period from 2006 to 2010. It identifies the types of offences for which cautions are most commonly administered; the age and gender profile of offenders involved; variability in the application of the Scheme across the country; and the extent to which offenders come to the attention of AGS post-caution. Overall, the paper analyses the role of adult cautioning in the Irish context and provides some observations on the potential for increased diversion through expanding the remit of the Scheme in future years.

Naughton C, Redmond S and Coonan B. *Evaluation of The Bail Supervision Scheme for Children (Pilot Scheme)*. Dublin: Department of Children and Youth Affairs; 2019. Available from: <https://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/31491/>

Abstract

In December 2019, Katherine Zappone, Minister for Children and Youth Affairs, launched the report *Evaluation of The Bail Supervision Scheme for Children (Pilot Scheme)* (Naughton, Catherine, *et al.* 2019). The evaluation, which was commissioned by the Department of Children and Youth Affairs (DCYA), was carried out by the REPPP project research team at the School of Law, University of Limerick. The overall aim of the evaluation was to examine behavioural change outcomes and the processes that contributed to those outcomes between May 2017 and June 2018. The DCYA commissioned Extern to deliver the pilot BSS intervention to children deemed to be at high risk of being detained in a prison in Dublin.

T5.3 References

An Garda Síochána 2020. *Adult cautioning scheme*. Dublin: An Garda Síochána. Available at: <https://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/33546/>

An Garda Síochána 2025a. *Annual report of the committee appointed to monitor the effectiveness of the diversion programme 2023*. Dublin: Garda National Youth Diversion Bureau. Available at: <https://www.garda.ie/en/crime-prevention/community-engagement/community-engagement-offices/s-44-2023-annual-report-of-the-monitoring-committee-established-to-monitor-the->

[effectiveness-of-the-diversion-programme.pdf](#) [Accessed: 30 October 2025].

An Garda Síochána 2025b. *Annual report of the committee appointed to monitor the effectiveness of the diversion programme 2023*. Available at: <https://www.garda.ie/en/crime-prevention/community-engagement/community-engagement-offices/s-44-2023-annual-report-of-the-monitoring-committee-established-to-monitor-the-effectiveness-of-the-diversion-programme.pdf> [Accessed: 30 October 2025].

An Garda Síochána 2025c. *Garda National Youth Diversion Bureau*. 2022. Available at: <https://www.garda.ie/en/crime-prevention/community-engagement/community-engagement-offices/garda-national-youth-diversion-bureau/> [Accessed: 30 October 2025].

An Garda Síochána n.d. *Diversion Programme*. Available at: <http://www.garda.ie/Controller.aspx?Page=15717&Lang=1&Mode=Display&Command=None>. [Accessed: 27 October 2017].

Brophy, C. 2025. Seanad Éireann debate: Offences Against the State (Amendment) Act 1998 and Criminal Justice (Amendment) Act 2009: Motions, Vol 306 No 14. Available at: <https://data.oireachtas.ie/ie/oireachtas/debateRecord/seanad/2025-06-24/debate/mul@/main.pdf> [Accessed: 21 September 2025].

Browne, J. 2020. Dáil Éireann Parliamentary Debate – *Criminal Justice (Money Laundering and Terrorist Financing) (Amendment) Bill 2020: Second Stage*. Vol 997 No 6. Available at: <https://www.oireachtas.ie/en/debates/debate/dail/2020-09-22/19/>

Central Statistics Office 2020. *Probation re-offending statistics 2016*. Cork: Central Statistics Office. Available at: <https://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/33372/>

Central Statistics Office 2021. *Probation re-offending statistics 2017*. Cork: Central Statistics Office. Available at: <https://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/35195/>

Central Statistics Office 2022. *Probation re-offending statistics 2018*. Available at: <https://www.cso.ie/en/releasesandpublications/ep/p-prs/probationre-offendingstatistics2018/> [Accessed: 18 August 2023].

Central Statistics Office 2023. *Probation re-offending statistics 2019*. Available at: <https://www.cso.ie/en/releasesandpublications/ep/p-prs/probationre-offendingstatistics2019/> [Accessed: 29 September 2025].

Central Statistics Office 2024. *Probation re-offending statistics 2020*. Available at: https://www.cso.ie/en/statistics/crimeandjustice/probation_recidivism/ [Accessed: 14 September 2025]

Central Statistics Office 2025. *Prison re-offending statistics 2022*. Available at: <https://www.cso.ie/en/releasesandpublications/ep/p-pros/prisonre-offendingstatistics2022/detailsof3-yearcustodialre-offending/> [Accessed: 14 September 2025].

Commission on the Future of Policing 2018. *The future of policing in Ireland*. Available at: [https://www.policereform.ie/en/POLREF/The%20Future%20of%20Policing%20in%20Ireland\(web\).pdf/Files/The%20Future%20of%20Policing%20in%20Ireland\(web\).pdf](https://www.policereform.ie/en/POLREF/The%20Future%20of%20Policing%20in%20Ireland(web).pdf/Files/The%20Future%20of%20Policing%20in%20Ireland(web).pdf) [Accessed: 30 October 2025].

- Connolly, J. 2006. *Drugs and crime in Ireland*. Dublin: Health Research Board. Available at: <http://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/6045/>
- Courts Service 2017. *Drug Treatment Court Programme*. Available at: <https://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/33256/>
- Courts Service 2025 *Annual Report 2024*. Dublin: Courts Service. Available at: <https://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/43644/>
- Courts Service 2025. *The Drug Treatment Court*. Available at: <https://www.courts.ie/organisation-information/the-drug-treatment-court> [Accessed: 29 October 2025].
- Crowe 2021. *Review of the Adult Cautioning Scheme final report*. Dublin: Policing Authority. Available at: <https://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/34877/>
- Daly, P. 2025. Dáil Éireann Parliamentary Debate – *Criminal Justice (Promotion of Restorative Justice) (Amendment) Bill 2023: Second Stage [Private Members]*. Vol 1071 No 5. Available at: <https://www.oireachtas.ie/en/debates/debate/dail/2025-07-17/49/> [Accessed: 30 October 2025].
- Department of Health 2017. *Reducing harm, supporting recovery. A health-led response to drug and alcohol use in Ireland 2017 - 2025*. Dublin: Department of Health. Available at: <http://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/27603/>
- Department of Health 2023. *National Drugs Strategy Action Plan 2023-2024*. Dublin: Department of Health. Available at: <https://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/39064/>
- Department of Justice, Home Affairs and Migration 2021. *Minister Browne launches Youth Justice Strategy 2021–2027*. Available at: <https://www.gov.ie/en/department-of-justice-home-affairs-and-migration/press-releases/minister-browne-launches-youth-justice-strategy-2021-2027/> [Accessed: 29 October 2025].
- Department of Justice, Home Affairs and Migration 2021. *Youth Justice Strategy 2021–2027*. Dublin: Department of Justice, Home Affairs and Migration. Available from: <https://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/34061/>
- Department of Justice, Home Affairs and Migration 2022. *Minister of State James Browne announces almost €832,000 in once-off funding for 42 Youth Diversion Projects (YDPs) nationwide*. Available at: <https://www.gov.ie/en/press-release/c0184-minister-of-state-james-browne-td-announces-832000-in-once-off-in-funding-for-42-youth-diversion-projects-ydps-nationwide/> [Accessed: 30 October 2025].
- Department of Justice, Home Affairs and Migration 2023a. *Press release: Minister of State with responsibility for Youth Justice, James Browne, launches Evaluation report on Youth Diversion Projects*. Available at: <https://www.gov.ie/en/press-release/dff76-minister-of-state-with-responsibility-for-youth-justice-james-browne-launches-evaluation-report-on-youth-diversion-projects/> [Accessed: 30 October 2025].
- Department of Justice, Home Affairs and Migration 2025a. Report by Minister for Justice, Home Affairs and Migration on the Operation of Sections 2 to 4, 6 to 12, 14 and 17 of the Offences Against the State (Amendment) Act 1998 pursuant to Section 18(3) of that Act. Available at:

https://opac.oireachtas.ie/Data/Library3/Documents%20Laid/2025/pdf/DOJdoclaid180625_155504.pdf [Accessed: 21 September 2025].

Department of Justice, Home Affairs and Migration 2025a. Report by Minister for Justice, Home Affairs and Migration on the Operation of Sections 2 to 4, 6 to 12, 14 and 17 of the Offences Against the State (Amendment) Act 1998 pursuant to Section 18(3) of that Act Available at: https://opac.oireachtas.ie/Data/Library3/Documents%20Laid/2025/pdf/DOJdoclaid180625_155148.pdf [Accessed: 21 September 2025].

Department of Justice, Home Affairs and Migration 2025b. Report by Minister for Justice, Home Affairs and Migration on the Operation of Section 8 of the Criminal Justice (Amendment) Act 2009 pursuant to Section 8(6) of that Act. Available at: https://opac.oireachtas.ie/Data/Library3/Documents%20Laid/2025/pdf/DOJdoclaid180625_155148.pdf [Accessed: 21 September 2025].

Department of Justice, Home Affairs and Migration 2025c. *Record €6.17 billion for justice sector in budget 2025*. Available at: <https://www.gov.ie/en/department-of-justice-home-affairs-and-migration/news/record-6-17-billion-for-justice-sector-in-budget-2026/> [Accessed: 30 October 2025].

Department of Justice, Home Affairs and Migration 2025d. *Youth Justice Strategy 2021–2027: implementation statement 2024*. Dublin: Department of Justice. Available from: https://assets.gov.ie/static/documents/YJS_Implementation_Statement_2024.pdf [Accessed: 30 October 2025].

Drugs Policy and Social Inclusion Unit 2021. *Mid-term review of the national drug strategy, Reducing Harm, Supporting Recovery and strategic priorities 2021-2025*. Dublin: Department of Health. Available at: <https://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/35183/>

Drugs Policy Unit Department of Health 2019. *Reducing Harm, Supporting Recovery Progress 2018 and planned activity 2019*. Dublin: Department of Health. Available at: <https://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/30660/>

Egan, A. 2021. *Report of proceedings: Garda youth diversion projects virtual conference 2021*. Dublin: Department of Justice. Available at: <https://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/34660/>

EU Funds Ireland (2022) *European Social Fund Plus 2021*. Available at: <https://eufunds.ie/?s=European+Social+Fund+Plus+2021> [Accessed: 30 October 2025].

European Monitoring Centre for Drugs and Drug Addiction 2015. *Alternatives to punishment for drug-using offenders*. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union. Available at: <http://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/24320/> [Accessed: 30 October 2025].

Extern 2019. *Bail Supervision Scheme (BSS)*. Available at: <https://www.extern.org/our-services/youth-justice-services/bail-supervision-scheme-bss> [Accessed: 30 October 2025].

Fianna Fáil, Fine Gael, The Green Party 2020. *Programme for Government – our shared future*. <https://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/32212/>

Fitzgerald, F. 2016. Dáil Éireann Parliamentary Debate: Questions 71 *Spent Convictions* Available at: <https://www.oireachtas.ie/en/debates/question/2016-06-21/71/> [Accessed: 30 October 2025].

- Fitzgerald, F. 2017. Dáil Éireann Parliamentary Debate: Written answer 76 *sentencing policy*. Vol 947 No 1. Available at: <https://www.oireachtas.ie/en/debates/question/2017-04-12/76/> [Accessed: 30 October 2025].
- Flanagan, C. 2017. Dáil Éireann Parliamentary Debate: Written answers 281-295. Vol 957 No 3. Available at:
<http://oireachtasdebates.oireachtas.ie/debates%20authoring/debateswebpack.nsf/takes/dail2017071100078?opendocument#WRS02800>
- Government of Ireland 2019. A policing service for the future. Implementing the Report of the Commission on the Future of Policing in Ireland. Dublin: Government of Ireland. Available at: <https://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/33265/>
- Health Research Board 2012. *2012 National Report (2011 data) to the EMCDDA by the Reitox National Focal Point. Ireland: new developments, trends and in-depth information on selected issues*. Dublin: Health Research Board. Available at: <http://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/18808/>
- Health Research Board 2014. *2014 National Report (2013 data) to the EMCDDA by the Reitox National Focal Point. Ireland: new developments, trends*. Dublin: Health Research Board. Available at: <http://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/23170/>
- Health Research Board 2024. *Ireland: national report for 2023 – legal framework*. Dublin: Health Research Board. Available at: <https://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/25263/>
- Hogan, M. J. G. and Court of Appeal (2015). *Bederev -v- Ireland & ors*. Courts Service, Dublin. Available at <http://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/24355/>
- Howlin, B. 2020. Dáil Éireann Parliamentary Debate – *Proceeds of Crime (Gross Human Rights Abuses) Bill 2020: First Stage*. Vol 1001 No 5. Available at: <https://www.oireachtas.ie/en/debates/debate/dail/2020-12-01/9/> [Accessed: 30 October 2025].
- Implementation Oversight Group to the Minister for Justice and Equality 2019. *Implementation of Penal Policy Review Group recommendations. Seventh report of the Implementation Oversight Group to the Minister for Justice and Equality*. Department of Justice and Equality, Dublin. Available at <https://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/31111/>
- Implementation Oversight Group to the Minister for Justice and Equality 2023 *Implementation of Penal Policy Review Group recommendations. Eight report of the Implementation Oversight Group to the Minister for Justice and Equality*. Dublin: Department of Justice and Equality <https://www.gov.ie/pdf/?file=https://assets.gov.ie/256736/0c813c5d-28ab-4657-8ff9-081399135630.pdf#page=1> [Accessed: 30 October 2025].
- Independent Review Group 2023a. *Offences Against the State Acts: Report of the majority*. Available at <https://www.gov.ie/pdf/?file=https://assets.gov.ie/261194/ccaf5dfd-a74e-4583-8694-83705e44e3d7.pdf#page=null> [Accessed: 30 October 2025].
- Independent Review Group 2023b. *Offences Against the State Acts: Report of the minority*. Available at: <https://www.gov.ie/pdf/?file=https://assets.gov.ie/261196/17d25b8a-70e4-440e-beca-a413a5d8beb9.pdf#page=null> [Accessed: 30 October 2025].

- Irish Legal News 2016. *Department of Justice announces commencement of spent convictions legislation*. Available at: <https://www.irishlegal.com/articles/department-of-justice-announces-commencement-of-spent-convictions-legislation> [Accessed: 30 October 2025].
- Irish Prison Service 2023 *Irish Prison Service drugs strategy 2023-2026*. Dublin: Irish Prison Service. Available at: <https://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/40035/>
- Irish Prison Service 2024 *Irish Prison Service annual report 2023*. Dublin: Irish Prison Service. Available at: <https://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/42428/>
- Irish Prison Service 2025 *Irish Prison Service annual report 2024*. Dublin: Irish Prison Service. Available at: <https://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/44134/>
- Irish Youth Justice Service 2005. *Historical Background*. Available at: <http://www.iyjs.ie/en/IYJS/Pages/WP08000009> .
- Irish Youth Justice Service 2018. *Garda Youth Diversion Projects operational requirements*. Ireland: Irish Youth Justice Service
- Irish Youth Justice Service 2019. *Background note: development of a new Youth Justice Strategy*. Ireland: Irish Youth Justice Service.
- Joint Committee on Justice Defence and Equality 2014. *Report on hearings in relations to community courts*. Dublin: Houses of the Oireachtas. Available at: <http://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/22396/>
- Keane, D. 1997. Detention without charge and the Criminal Justice (Drug Trafficking) Act 1996: Shifting the focus of Irish Criminal Justice away from trial court to Garda station. *Irish Criminal Law Journal* 7, pp. 1–17.
- Kehoe, P. 2017. Parliamentary Debates Dáil Éireann: Written answer 818 - *Air corps*. Vol. 946 No 2. Available at: https://www.oireachtas.ie/en/debates/question/2017-04-11/818/#pq_818 (Accessed: 30 October 2025).
- Kilcommins, S., O'Donnell, I., O'Sullivan, E. and Vaughan, B. 2004. *Crime, punishment and the search for order in Ireland*. Dublin: Institute of Public Administration.
- Kyne, S. 2025. *Seanad Éireann Parliamentary debate- Offences against the State (Amendment) Act 1998 and Criminal Justice (Amendment) Act 2009: Motions*. Vol 306. No. 14. Available at: Available at: <https://www.oireachtas.ie/en/debates/debate/seanad/2025-06-24/9/> [Accessed: 21 September 2025].
- Law Reform Commission 2011. *Consultation paper: mandatory sentences*. Dublin: Law Reform Commission. Available at: <http://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/16789/>
- Law Reform Commission 2013a. *Fourth programme of law reform*. Dublin: Law Reform Commission. Available at: <https://publications.lawreform.ie/Portal/External/en-GB/RecordView/Index/37396> [Accessed: 30 October 2025].
- Law Reform Commission 2013b. *Report: mandatory sentences*. Dublin: Law Reform Commission. Available at: <http://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/20242/>
- Law Reform Commission 2017. *Issues paper: suspended sentences*. Dublin: Law Reform Commission. Available at: <https://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/27872/>

- Law Reform Commission 2019. *Fifth programme of law reform*. Dublin: Law Reform commission. Available at: <https://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/30971/>
- Law Reform Commission 2020. *Suspended sentences*. Dublin: Law Reform Commission. Available at: <https://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/33140/>
- Law Reform Commission 2025. *Misuse of Drugs Act 1977 Revised updated to 29 July 2025*. Available at: <https://revisedacts.lawreform.ie/eli/1977/act/12/front/revised/en/html> [Accessed: 11 October 2025].
- Mackey, K. 2013. *Analysis of sentencing for possession or importation of drugs for sale or supply*. Dublin: Irish Sentencing Information System. Available at: <http://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/21866/>
- McCutcheon, J. and Walsh, D. 1999. Seizure of criminal assets: an overview. *Irish Criminal Law Journal* 9, pp. 127–32.
- McEntee, H. 2021a. Dáil Éireann Parliamentary Debate – *The National Youth Justice Strategy 2021-2027 and Supporting Community Safety: Statements*. Available at: <https://www.oireachtas.ie/en/debates/debate/dail/2021-10-06/14/> [Accessed: 11 October 2025].
- McEntee, H. 2021b. Seanad Éireann Parliamentary Debate – *Criminal Procedure Bill 2021: Second Stage*. Vol 275 No 7. Available at: <https://www.oireachtas.ie/en/debates/debate/seanad/2021-04-19/19/> [Accessed: 11 October 2025].
- McEntee, H. 2024. Dáil Éireann Parliamentary Debate: Written answer 12 *Drug dealing*. Vol 1058 No 5. Available at: <https://www.oireachtas.ie/en/debates/debate/dail/2024-09-26/7/?highlight%5B0%5D=youth&highlight%5B1%5D=diversion#s11> [Accessed: 30 October 2025].
- National Crime Council 2007. *Problem solving justice: the case for community courts in Ireland*. Dublin: Stationery Office. Available at: <http://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/24889/>
- Naughton, C., Redmond, S. and Coonan, B. 2019. *Evaluation of the Bail Supervision Scheme for Children (pilot scheme)*. Department of Children and Youth Affairs, Dublin. Available from: <https://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/31491/>
- Naughton, H. 2021. Seanad Éireann Parliamentary Debates – *Criminal Justice (Rehabilitative Periods) Bill 2018: Report and Final Stages*. Available at: <https://www.oireachtas.ie/en/debates/debate/seanad/2021-06-28/17/> [Accessed: 30 October 2025].
- Nic Lochlainn, M. 2018. Joint Committee on Transport, Tourism and Sport debate – *Railway Safety (Amendment) Bill 2018: Discussion*. Available at: https://www.oireachtas.ie/en/debates/debate/joint_committee_on_transport_tourism_and_sport/2018-06-27/3/ [Accessed: 30 October 2025].
- O Callaghan, J. 2025. Dáil Éireann Parliamentary Questions – *Courts Service*. 571. Available at: <https://www.oireachtas.ie/en/debates/question/2025-04-01/571/?highlight%5B0%5D=drug&highlight%5B1%5D=treatment&highlight%5B2%5D=court&highlight%5B3%5D=drugs> [Accessed: 30 October 2025].

- Ó Fearghail, S. 2024. Offences against the State (Amendment) Act 1998 and Criminal Justice (Amendment) Act 2009: Motions (Resumed). Vol 1056 No 4. Available at: <https://www.oireachtas.ie/en/debates/debate/dail/2024-06-26/34/> [Accessed: 30 October 2025].
- O’Callaghan, J. 2025. Offences against the State (Amendment) Act 1998 and Criminal Justice (Amendment) Act 2009: Motions. Vol 1069 No 5. Available at: <https://www.oireachtas.ie/en/debates/debate/dail/2025-06-25/14/> [Accessed: 30 October 2025].
- O’Dea, W. 2009. Dáil Éireann Parliamentary Debate – Questions 62: *Drug Testing Programme*. Available at: <https://www.oireachtas.ie/en/debates/question/2009-05-06/62/> [Accessed: 30 October 2025].
- Pike, B. 2008. RDTF strategies and treatment. *Drugnet Ireland* (25), p. 7. Available at: <https://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/12049/>
- Research Matters Ltd (2023) *Evaluation of youth diversion projects*. Dublin: Department of Justice. Available from: <https://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/38981/>
- Ruane, L. 2019. Seanad Éireann Parliamentary Debate – *Criminal Justice (Rehabilitative Periods) Bill 2018: Second stage*. Available at: <https://www.oireachtas.ie/en/debates/debate/seanad/2019-02-13/12/> [Accessed: 30 October 2025].
- Ryan, A. 1997. ‘The Criminal Justice (Drug Trafficking) Act 1996: Decline and fall of the right to silence.’ *Irish Criminal Law Journal* 7(1), pp. 22–37.
- Sheehan, K. Walsh, C. and Cusack, A. 2024. ‘It’s a group-on-one’: social disconnection as a tool of and defence against child criminal exploitation in the Republic of Ireland. *Crime Prevention and Community Safety* (26), pp. 266–284. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41300-024-00216-5>
- Smyth, B.P., James, P., Cullen, W. and Darker, C.D. 2015. So prohibition can work? Changes in use of novel psychoactive substances among adolescents attending a drug and alcohol treatment service following a legislative ban. *International Journal of Drug Policy* 26(9), pp. 887–889. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.drugpo.2015.05.021>
- Smyth, B.P., Lyons, S. and Cullen, W. 2017. Decline in new psychoactive substance use disorders following legislation targeting headshops: Evidence from national addiction treatment data. *Drug and Alcohol Review* 36(5), pp. 609–617. Available at: <https://doi.org/10.1111/dar.12527>
- Stanton, D. 2019. Dáil Éireann Parliamentary Debate – *Criminal Justice (Mutual Recognition of Probation Judgments and Decisions) Bill 2018: Second Stage*. Available at: <https://www.oireachtas.ie/en/debates/debate/dail/2019-01-22/30/> [Accessed: 30 October 2025].
- Strategic Review Group on Penal Policy 2014. *Strategic review of penal policy*. Dublin: Department of Justice and Equality. Available at: <http://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/22657/>
- The Policing Authority 2021. Policing Authority publishes Review of the Garda Síochána Adult Cautioning Scheme. Available: <https://www.policingauthority.ie/en/news/policing-authority-publishes-review-of-the-garda-siochana-adult-cautioning-scheme> [Accessed: 30 October 2025].

- The Probation Service 2015. *Probation services for young people*. Available at: <http://www.probation.ie/EN/PB/WebPages/WP16000038> [Accessed: 30 October 2025].
- The Probation Service 2021. *Probation Service annual report 2020*. Dublin: Probation Service. Available at: <https://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/34640/>
- The Probation Service 2022. *Probation Service annual report 2021*. Dublin: Probation Service. Available at: <https://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/37013/>
- The Probation Service 2025. *Probation services annual report 2024*. Dublin: Probation Service. Available at: https://assets.gov.ie/static/documents/TAB_A_Probation_Service_Annual_Report_2024_FINAL.pdf [Accessed: 30 October 2025].
- Tolan, G. and Seymour, M. 2014. Increasing the potential for diversion in the Irish Criminal Justice System: the role of the Garda Síochána adult cautioning scheme. *Irish Journal of Applied Social Studies* 14(1). Available at: <http://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/23801/>
- Working group to consider alternative approaches to the possession of drugs for personal use 2019. *Report of the working group to consider alternative approaches to the possession of drugs for personal use*. Dublin: Department of Health and Department of Justice and Equality, Dublin. Available at <https://www.drugsandalcohol.ie/30887/>

European Drugs Agency

The EUDA is a decentralised European Union (EU) agency based in Lisbon. The EUDA provides the EU and its member states with information on the nature, extent, and consequences of, and responses to, illicit drug use. It supplies the evidence base to support policy formation on drugs and addiction in both the EU and its member states.

There are 30 national focal points that act as monitoring centres for the EUDA. These focal points gather and analyse country data according to common data collection standards and tools and supply these data to the EUDA. The results of this national monitoring process are supplied to the EUDA for analysis, from which it produces the annual *European Drug Report* and other outputs.

The Irish Focal Point to the EUDA is based in the HRB. The focal point writes and submits a series of textual reports, data on the five epidemiological indicators, and supply indicators in the form of standard tables and structured questionnaires on response-related issues such as prevention and social reintegration. The focal point is also responsible for implementing Council Decision 2005/387/JHA on the information exchange, risk assessment, and control of new psychoactive substances.

Acknowledgements

Completion of the national focal point's reports to the EUDA depend on the support and cooperation of a number of Government Departments and statutory bodies. Among those to whom we would like to express our thanks are the staff of the following:

Customs Drugs Law Enforcement, Revenue

Department of Children, Disability and Equality

Department of Education and Youth

National Drugs and Organised Crime Bureau, An Garda Síochána

Drugs Policy Division, Department of Justice, Home Affairs and Migration

Drugs Policy and Social Inclusion Unit, Department of Health

Forensic Science Ireland

Health Protection Surveillance Centre, Health Service Executive

Hospital In-Patient Enquiry scheme, Health Service Executive

Irish Prison Service

National Advisory Committee on Drugs and Alcohol, Department of Health

National Social Inclusion Office, Primary Care Division, Health Service Executive

We also wish to acknowledge the assistance of the coordinators and staff of LDATFs and RDATFs, and of voluntary, community-based and other non-governmental organisations.

We wish to thank our HRB colleagues in the Evidence Centre, the National Drug Treatment Reporting System, the National Drug-Related Deaths Index, and the HRB National Drugs Library, all of whom make significant contributions to the preparation of the national report.