

This entry is our account of a study collected by Drug and Alcohol Findings. Citation here does not imply that the document is particularly relevant to Britain and of particular merit, though it may well be both. Unless indicated otherwise, permission is given to distribute this entry or incorporate passages in other documents as long as the source is acknowledged including the web address <http://findings.org.uk>. The original study was not published by Findings; click on the [Title](#) to obtain copies. Free reprints may also be available from the authors – click [Request reprint](#) to send or adapt the pre-prepared e-mail message. Links to source documents are in [blue](#). Hover mouse over [orange](#) text for explanatory notes. The Summary is intended to convey the findings and views expressed in the study.

Click [HERE](#) and enter e-mail address to be alerted to new studies and reviews

---

► [Effects of psychiatric comorbidity on treatment outcome in patients undergoing diamorphine or methadone maintenance treatment.](#)

DOWNLOAD PDF  
for saving to  
your computer

Schäfer I., Eiroa-Orosa F.J., Verthein U. et al.  
**Psychopathology: 2010, 43, p. 88–95.**

[Request reprint](#) using your default e-mail program or write to Dr Haasen at [haasen@uke.uni-hamburg.de](mailto:haasen@uke.uni-hamburg.de)

*In Germany, heroin-addicted patients suffering from mental disorders benefited more from being prescribed heroin than methadone and did so to almost the same degree as other patients, including greater remission in psychiatric symptoms.*

**Summary** Whether heroin addicted patients also suffering from poor mental health benefit from being prescribed heroin was the question addressed by the featured report from the German heroin prescribing trial. This account draws on an [earlier Findings analysis](#) of the study, of which the featured report was a sub-study.

The parent study trialled the prescribing of heroin for the treatment of heroin addiction at seven German clinics. Over the years 2002 and 2003 it successfully recruited 1015 patients who were regularly injecting heroin and in poor physical or mental health despite being in methadone maintenance treatment, or having been treated for their addiction in the past, but not in the last six months.

Patients were randomly allocated to either be prescribed heroin to be taken under supervision at the clinics plus oral methadone, or only oral methadone. Cutting across this allocation, they were also randomly allocated to two forms of psychosocial support: case management conducted along motivational interviewing lines and intended to flexibly coordinate an individualised care package from various services; or a more standard and directly delivered series of individual counselling and group therapy sessions. Which of these support programmes a patient was allocated to made no difference to the main outcomes, so reports have focused on the pharmacotherapy options.

[Earlier reports](#) recorded that compared to methadone, the heroin option retained more

patients for a year and enabled more to substantially curb illicit heroin use without countervailing increases in cocaine use. More heroin patients also experienced improved health. However, the new methadone programme was itself far from ineffective; though previous treatment had been unsuccessful, many methadone patients also substantially cut their heroin use and experienced improved health.

## Main findings

The featured report was based on findings from 626 patients who completed an extended assessment of their psychological health. To do this they had to have been retained in the study for at least a month. Among these, 485 completed a year of the treatment to which they had been allocated.

Initial assessment revealed that at the start of treatment about half were 'dually diagnosed' as suffering from a diagnosable psychiatric condition, mainly a disorder of mood, neurosis, stress, or psychosomatic conditions. Regardless of whether prescribed heroin or methadone, only slightly and non-significantly fewer such patients were retained in treatment for a year as patients not suffering mental health problems (in all 75% v. 80%). Regardless of whether they were dual diagnosis patients, among those who were retained, psychological distress and psychiatric symptoms remitted more fully among patients prescribed heroin. Like patients in general, given heroin rather than just methadone, dual diagnosis patients more often substantially curbed illicit heroin use without countervailing increases in cocaine use, and more often experienced substantially improved health. However, in these respects the advantage gained by heroin was slightly less than among patients not diagnosed with a psychiatric condition.

## The authors' conclusions

Among the study's caseload (continuing to regularly inject heroin and in poor physical or mental health despite prior or current treatment), as with other patients, patients with psychiatric conditions benefited more from heroin prescribing than methadone-only programmes in terms of improved health and reduced illicit drug use. However, these benefits were slightly less marked than among other patients, perhaps partly because anxiety or depressive disorders respond to methadone's sedative effects. However, the study excluded patients whose mental disorders were so severe that they jeopardised participation in the trial, accounting for the unusually low number suffering from schizophrenia-type disorders. The same limitation applies to patients with personality disorders.

The implication is that psychiatric comorbidity of the kind included in the trial need not be a reason to exclude patients from heroin-based treatment and that, more so than methadone, such treatment can help resolve their psychiatric and substance use problems. The structure and clinical contact imposed by having to visit the clinic several times a day for supervised heroin consumption may have been one reason why dual diagnosis patients responded well to this treatment.

*This draft entry is currently subject to consultation and correction by study authors.*

Last revised 24 January 2012

[▶ Comment on this entry](#) • [▶ Give us your feedback on the site \(one-minute survey\)](#)

Unable to obtain the document from the suggested source? Here's an [alternative](#).

---

**Top 10 most closely related documents on this site. For more try a [subject or free text search](#)**

[Is heroin-assisted treatment effective for patients with no previous maintenance treatment? Results from a German randomised controlled trial](#) STUDY 2010

[The Andalusian trial on heroin-assisted treatment: a 2 year follow-up](#) STUDY 2010

[Addressing medical and welfare needs improves treatment retention and outcomes](#) STUDY 2005

[The SUMMIT Trial: a field comparison of buprenorphine versus methadone maintenance treatment](#) STUDY 2010

[The Drug Treatment Outcomes Research Study \(DTORS\): final outcomes report](#) STUDY 2009

[Role Reversal](#) FINDINGS REVIEW 2003

[Prescription of heroin for the management of heroin dependence: current status](#) REVIEW 2009

[Heroin maintenance for chronic heroin-dependent individuals](#) REVIEW 2010

[How does cognitive behaviour therapy work with opioid-dependent clients? Results of the UKCBTMM study](#) STUDY 2011

[Risk of death during and after opiate substitution treatment in primary care: prospective observational study in UK](#) STUDY 2010